



DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
MONDAY, APRIL 18, 2022
2:00 – 5:00 PM
ZOOM WEBINAR

The Design Review Board will hold this meeting using a virtual, Zoom Webinar platform.
Members of the public will be able to call in to the Zoom Webinar.

Please click the link below to join the Webinar:

<https://bainbridgewa.zoom.us/j/85961814248>

Or One tap mobile:

US: +12532158782,,85961814248# or +13462487799,,85961814248#

Or Telephone:

Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: +1 253 215 8782 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 720 707 2699 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 646 558 8656 or
+1 301 715 8592

Webinar ID: 859 6181 4248

International numbers available: <https://bainbridgewa.zoom.us/j/85961814248>

AGENDA

- | | |
|---------|---|
| 2:00 PM | Call to Order (Attendance, Agenda, Ethics) |
| 2:05 PM | Approval of Minutes – March 21, 2022 |
| 2:10 PM | Sewer Capacity for New Development Proposals
Traffic Impact Analysis – General Scope
Public Works Director: Chris Wierzbicki
Engineering Manager: Paul Nylund |
| 2:25 PM | Housing Resources Bainbridge (PLN52178 DRB-CON)
Project Manager: Ellen Fairleigh
#1 Conceptual Proposal Review Meeting
<i>See digital file for materials</i> |
| 2:55 PM | Hyla High School (PLN52173 DRB-DG)
Project Manager: Kelly Tayara
#2 Design Guidance Review Meeting
<i>See digital file for materials</i> |
| 3:55 PM | Review DesignForBainbridge worksheet updates |
| 4:10 PM | Review Code Update Letter to be sent to City Council |
| 4:20 PM | New/Old Business <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pre-app conference attendees |

**For special accommodations, please contact Planning & Community Development
206-780-3750 or at pcd@bainbridgewa.gov**



CITY OF
BAINBRIDGE ISLAND

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
MONDAY, APRIL 18, 2022
2:00 – 5:00 PM
ZOOM WEBINAR

- DesignForBainbridge Manual Update
- General Project Update
- Email
- Board Member Issues/Concerns

5:00 PM

Adjourn

Call to Order (Attendance, Agenda, Ethics)
Review and Approval of Minutes – March 7, 2022
Winslow Subarea Plan Update
Hiring Process Update
Review Design for Bainbridge worksheet updates
New/Old Business
 Pre-app conference attendees
 Design for Bainbridge Manual Update-David Greetham
 General Project Update-David Greetham
 Board Member Issues/Concerns
Adjourn

Call to Order (Attendance, Agenda, Ethics)

Chair Todd Thiel called the meeting to order at 2:03 PM. Committee Members in attendance were Vicki Clayton, Anna Snyder-Kelly, Joe Dunstan, and Bob Russell. Michael Loverich was absent and excused. City Council member Clarence Moriwaki was present. City Staff present were Interim Planning Director Mark Hofman, Planning Manager David Greetham, Senior Planner Jennifer Sutton, and Administrative Specialist Marlene Schubert who monitored recording and prepared minutes.

The agenda was reviewed. No conflicts were disclosed.

Review and Approval of Minutes – March 7, 2022

Motion: I make a motion to approve the March 7th meeting minutes.
Dunstan/Russell: Passed Unanimously

Winslow Subarea Plan Update

Mark Hofman, Interim Planning Director
Jennifer Sutton, Senior Planner
Discussion only

Hiring Process Update

Mark Hofman, Interim Planning Director
Discussion only

Review Design for Bainbridge worksheet updates

Action: Marlene Schubert has committed to applying updates suggested by the board to be reviewed/approved at the next meeting.

Discussion only

New/Old Business

- Pre-app Conference Attendees
- Design for Bainbridge Manual Updates
Action: David Greetham has committed to contact Frameworks for possible attendance at the next meeting.
- General Project Update-David Greetham
- Board Member Issues/Concerns
Action: Marlene Schubert has committed to add an item to the next meeting's agenda related to board members email issues.

Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 4:44 PM.

Approved by:

Todd Thiel, Chair

Marlene Schubert, Administrative Specialist

Attendee Report				
Report Generated:	3/21/2022 19:48			
Topic	Webinar ID	Actual Start Time	Actual Duration (minutes)	Unique Viewers
Design Review Board Regular Meeting	923 2199 3666	3/21/2022 13:55	170	1
				Total Users
				12
Host Details				
User Name (Original Name)	Email	Join Time	Leave Time	Time in Session (minutes)
Marlene Schubert	mschubert@bainbridgewa.gov	3/21/2022 13:55	3/21/2022 16:44	170
Panelist Details				
User Name (Original Name)	Email	Join Time	Leave Time	Time in Session (minutes)
Mark	mhofman@bainbridgewa.gov	3/21/2022 13:57	3/21/2022 15:20	83
Bob	bob.russell@cobicommittee.email	3/21/2022 13:56	3/21/2022 16:44	168
Vicki (Bob)	bob.russell@cobicommittee.email	3/21/2022 14:01	3/21/2022 16:44	164
Jennifer	jsutton@bainbridgewa.gov	3/21/2022 14:00	3/21/2022 15:00	61
Anna	anna.snyder-kelly@cobicommittee.email	3/21/2022 14:00	3/21/2022 16:44	165
David	dgreetham@bainbridgewa.gov	3/21/2022 13:58	3/21/2022 15:53	115
Joe (Joseph)	joseph.dunstan@cobicommittee.email	3/21/2022 13:56	3/21/2022 16:44	169
Todd	todd.thiel@cobicommittee.email	3/21/2022 13:56	3/21/2022 16:44	169
Clarence	cmoriwaki@bainbridgewa.gov	3/21/2022 14:44	3/21/2022 16:44	121
Attendee Details				
User Name (Original Name)	Email	Join Time	Leave Time	Time in Session (minutes)
	12067159987	3/21/2022 14:38	3/21/2022 15:07	30
Sarah		3/21/2022 15:07	3/21/2022 16:42	96

WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:11.000

One moment, please. Excellent welcome. Everybody to the drb meeting today's date is the 20 first of March.

00:00:11.000 --> 00:00:23.000

2,022, and our docket here I don't think we we don't have projects to look at today, but we have a full agenda of other items.

00:00:23.000 --> 00:00:31.000

Not the least of which are some of our. It issues that are driving Marlene

00:00:31.000 --> 00:00:38.000

Insane when and rightfully so, we need to support her as much as she supports us. We will.

00:00:38.000 --> 00:00:55.000

We will get to that i'll call to order and I don't know if we we have Joseph Bob Anna, and another bob that looks a lot like vicki on the screen.

00:00:55.000 --> 00:01:01.000

So you are able to get to 2 people in on one.

00:01:01.000 --> 00:01:10.000

Invite. They just have to be. Have a surname members of the Design Board resigned Good Design Review Board.

00:01:10.000 --> 00:01:16.000

We We've got Jennifer Sutton joining us, and Mark Hoffman as well as David Griffin so welcome everyone.

00:01:16.000 --> 00:01:24.000

Thanks for putting the time in today, and first first order of business would be to approve the minutes of March.

00:01:24.000 --> 00:01:32.000

The seventh. Do I have a motion to approve I'll make a motion to approve a march seventh meeting notes.

00:01:32.000 --> 00:01:38.000

Excellent go, and is there a second second? excellent Bob?

00:01:38.000 --> 00:01:52.000

A second. Very good notes are approved Why, don't we go right at right ahead, or had a schedule already and jump into the winds.

00:01:52.000 --> 00:02:00.000

The whole sub area plan update from Jennifer and Mark mark.

00:02:00.000 --> 00:02:02.000

Do you want me to go first, or do you want to have?

00:02:02.000 --> 00:02:09.000

We didn't practice this ahead of Time Good afternoon Designer View Board.

00:02:09.000 --> 00:02:12.000

My name is Jennifer Sutton I'm.

00:02:12.000 --> 00:02:28.000

A senior planner at the city, primarily doing long-range planning, and here, to try to answer any questions that I can for you about the upcoming Winslow Sabaria plan update process.

00:02:28.000 --> 00:02:41.000

We discussed this topic last with Council with the City Council on February the eighth, and we laid out some next steps.

00:02:41.000 --> 00:03:03.000

In our February the eighth materials including to convene at interdepartmental staff staff group to lay out what could be the steps and what order the steps should take for working on the Winslow

00:03:03.000 --> 00:03:19.000

Subaria planning effort, and I should say We're calling it the Winslow Subaria plan update that sub area plan is has been called the Winslow Master Plan in the past and at that

00:03:19.000 --> 00:03:33.000

February, the eighth meeting the Council came to the conclusion that from going forward we'll call it. at this time the Windsla sub area plan and the project would be the sub area planning a update to not use the

00:03:33.000 --> 00:03:44.000

word master going forward. and you know who knows what the sub area plan will be called by the time this is all said and done.

00:03:44.000 --> 00:03:56.000

So actually tomorrow is when the staff from most city departments are gathering to talk about the big.

00:03:56.000 --> 00:04:06.000

The sub area plan efforts, i'm not sure if any of the Design Review Board members have had a chance to look at the Windsor Siberia plan lately.

00:04:06.000 --> 00:04:11.000

But it's on the website it's not on the website in its entirety.

00:04:11.000 --> 00:04:28.000

It's in the on the website by chapter and you could either type it into the search

box, or if you go to the comprehensive plan page which is at the you can link to it at the bottom of all city website, pages

00:04:28.000 --> 00:04:31.000

the Winslow Sub area plan is an appendix to the Cap, to the city.

00:04:31.000 --> 00:04:39.000

Comprehensive plan, so you can get to it that way there's 12 chapters and it's interesting.

00:04:39.000 --> 00:04:52.000

It's really will be a intern apartmental effort because lot of the work is kind of more in the public works realm than the planning realm.

00:04:52.000 --> 00:04:58.000

So we'll be working closely with the public works department on that effort.

00:04:58.000 --> 00:05:18.000

The materials. In the February The eighth council packet also described how this sub area plan was integrate and fold in recent or ongoing work, such as this climate action, plan the sustainable transportation plan

00:05:18.000 --> 00:05:25.000

and the upcoming housing action plan so that we don't duplicate any work that we've just done.

00:05:25.000 --> 00:05:39.000

So. No, I think actually on the Council agenda tonight they might be considering finalizing. the sustainable transportation plan might be on their agenda tonight.

00:05:39.000 --> 00:05:45.000

So. Just so. you know all of that good work that's been happening in the last few years.

00:05:45.000 --> 00:06:01.000

That will be integrated and included. in the Winslow Subaria planning effort, and be happy to Mark, and I would be happy to try to answer any questions. you might have at this time, and I think I would just

00:06:01.000 --> 00:06:16.000

say you know we haven't nailed down the next steps but I would just stay tuned stay tuned for updates in the coming months, and you know we don't know i'm sure there'll be some sort

00:06:16.000 --> 00:06:21.000

of I know I will we know that there'll be plenty of public meetings.

00:06:21.000 --> 00:06:34.000

Don't know if there'll be any sort of you know a stakeholder or group, or any of that we don't know those next steps, as far as like which groups will convene and

when or which public what types of public meetings will

00:06:34.000 --> 00:06:41.000

be held, and when so stay tuned and you can be assured.

00:06:41.000 --> 00:06:46.000

That as Design Review Board members will keep you in the loop as the move forward moves forward.

00:06:46.000 --> 00:07:02.000

Very good. do we open it up to questions does that sound good, and they're line it up.

00:07:02.000 --> 00:07:12.000

We'll start with Bob I was just curious I I wasn't that involved when I was working outside the house.

00:07:12.000 --> 00:07:29.000

But I thought, though the windslow so very fine updates that they were so. a public volunteer task force or something set up for that is, that depend on every time it's set up is that the rules changer or something I

00:07:29.000 --> 00:07:45.000

incorrect. It was the last the last time the so the last time the Winslow sub area plan was updated. was, it was finalized in 2,006 and in general the last big effort.

00:07:45.000 --> 00:07:58.000

You all. If you've been here a while you might remember the phrase, Winslow tomorrow, that was kind of what the last update was that's what it's project name was was Winslow tomorrow There were

00:07:58.000 --> 00:08:11.000

you know lots of different kinds of community groups, both of internal and external stakeholders or interested parties.

00:08:11.000 --> 00:08:20.000

So we're not quite sure there may be a citizen committee that oversees it there might not be where it's yet to be determined.

00:08:20.000 --> 00:08:26.000

I should say that one yeah, the so it's just stay tuned.

00:08:26.000 --> 00:08:34.000

It was a while ago, though, but you know that I wouldn't be surprised if there was a kind of citizen committee convenes.

00:08:34.000 --> 00:08:39.000

But we haven't that decision hasn't been made yet, I see. So it's not a requirement.

00:08:39.000 --> 00:08:55.000

Then. Okay, thank you, Joe. I think the lot I think then there was I don't know, because I wasn't involved in the Winslow tomorrow.

00:08:55.000 --> 00:08:59.000

Effort, but my memory is that there was lots of different committees.

00:08:59.000 --> 00:09:07.000

One of them was called like the Community Congress. something Congress but who was on it, and what role they had.

00:09:07.000 --> 00:09:17.000

I don't know. Okay, thank you I question I have was really the same that Bob had.

00:09:17.000 --> 00:09:25.000

That was whether there would be a steering committee of Mexico citizens and public interest groups in the city employees.

00:09:25.000 --> 00:09:29.000

That's sort of been answered so thank you you don't know yet.

00:09:29.000 --> 00:09:35.000

So you'll figure that out as you go the second part though, is I just want to make sure I understand quickly.

00:09:35.000 --> 00:09:41.000

You think a lot of the issues are more public works? Is it going to be run out of the Public works group?

00:09:41.000 --> 00:09:44.000

Or is it going to be run out of the planet group?

00:09:44.000 --> 00:10:02.000

Oh, I think we'll collaborate on that and not sure who which you know, if it will be an equal parts collaboration, or if someone from public works will be the lead versus someone from planning that's yet to be

00:10:02.000 --> 00:10:12.000

determined. Okay so, but it definitely has to be an interdepartmental effort, because there's a lot related to transportation.

00:10:12.000 --> 00:10:21.000

Obviously public facilities, utilities collaborating with the ferry, open space and trails.

00:10:21.000 --> 00:10:31.000

And so So even our fight, our finance department will you know. be part of the effort, and kept in the loop, so we'll end then.

00:10:31.000 --> 00:10:38.000

Autumn. i'm not sure if our climate officer has have you met the autumn?

00:10:38.000 --> 00:10:57.000

Our climate officer. right So she'll be she'll be you know part of the interdepartmental group, too. but as far as which department is going to be the lead yet to be determined and the last quick question is

00:10:57.000 --> 00:11:01.000

just what's the time frame is it starting now, and going through 2 years?

00:11:01.000 --> 00:11:10.000

Or what do you think? starting now? and you know i'm sure it Won't be less than a year, you know.

00:11:10.000 --> 00:11:15.000

We could hope let's let's hope for it let's hope that it will be done in 2 years.

00:11:15.000 --> 00:11:32.000

Okay, or or maybe not that much longer than a year. because as I said, there's a lot of a lot of background work has already been done recently that we can integrate you know.

00:11:32.000 --> 00:11:44.000

Okay, thank you. and Vicki Great. We we appreciate this. Todd and I.

00:11:44.000 --> 00:11:53.000

Were kind of brainstorming And what caused the brainstorming was talking about Ericsson Avenue.

00:11:53.000 --> 00:11:59.000

The fact that the Drv. has 2 projects in front of the Drb.

00:11:59.000 --> 00:12:05.000

Right now the Hila High School, as well as the 22 unit apartment house for Hrb.

00:12:05.000 --> 00:12:19.000

And according to the newspaper, very soon the big Hager Scribner Nicota project right off of Erickson behind the old Winslow clinic, which that which they call the Orchard.

00:12:19.000 --> 00:12:27.000

I think. Well, there, that is not a development project in the pipeline.

00:12:27.000 --> 00:12:41.000

That is a comprehensive plan at this time that's a comprehensive plan amendment that has been applied for, so not a development project at this time could you explain a little more?

00:12:41.000 --> 00:12:48.000

What a comprehensive how a project can apply for a comprehensive plan.

00:12:48.000 --> 00:12:52.000

Update Well, it's not that it's not that a project applied.

00:12:52.000 --> 00:12:57.000

It's that the property owners of the I think what everyone would say.

00:12:57.000 --> 00:13:06.000

The old one Winslow Clinic properties, and applied for a conversation of plan amendment to

00:13:06.000 --> 00:13:21.000

They applied to update and our have requested to update some of the standards and some of the policies related to that part of the core.

00:13:21.000 --> 00:13:28.000

That those 2, the properties involved are in the core Central core district.

00:13:28.000 --> 00:13:32.000

So they have just applied the comprehensive plan amendments.

00:13:32.000 --> 00:13:44.000

We're due at the end of february so that project has that comprehensive plan amendment which is not a development project, but it requests changes to the comprehensive plan so it's a legislative

00:13:44.000 --> 00:13:55.000

effort and review has not yet begun, and then one when the staff will review the conference of plans, and then

00:13:55.000 --> 00:14:13.000

They will have to go to the planning commission for review and public hearing, and eventually you know, notice will be given to adjacent property owner, since it is, since it is related to specific properties.

00:14:13.000 --> 00:14:18.000

And then ultimately, the planning commission needs just like with any ordinance.

00:14:18.000 --> 00:14:32.000

We'll make a recommendation to the council so so although although the comprehensive plan amendment would would apply if it was approved to a future development permit.

00:14:32.000 --> 00:14:36.000

There is not a development permit the pipeline.

00:14:36.000 --> 00:14:47.000

So basically we could say it's out there in the wings it's it's in the pipeline in a process, and so I wouldn't say it's in the pipeline, because I think you use

00:14:47.000 --> 00:14:57.000

that term related to actual development projects that have maybe had a conceptual

meeting or a pre-application. conference.

00:14:57.000 --> 00:15:01.000

Okay, that those might be in the pipeline or maybe they've actually turned in their land use permit.

00:15:01.000 --> 00:15:06.000

But let's just say it's out there in the ethos it's out there.

00:15:06.000 --> 00:15:11.000

It's it's out there so it's a comprehensive plan amendment that will be considered this year.

00:15:11.000 --> 00:15:22.000

Okay, it is on Ericsson for sure we know that So going it's a but it's a it's properties that front on Eric Erickson and Winslow.

00:15:22.000 --> 00:15:31.000

Okay. So okay, So kind of going back to what kind of was the impetus behind?

00:15:31.000 --> 00:15:48.000

What are wanting to understand a little bit more about how the Winslow planning effort was going to take place, you know, looking at the impact of 2 for sure, potentially 3 pretty major projects on Eric Erickson from an

00:15:48.000 --> 00:15:57.000

urban planning standpoint. Unfortunately, if the planning effort for Winslow is a one to 2 year effort.

00:15:57.000 --> 00:16:10.000

We've got the cart before the horse a little you know litter of figuratively Say, and and with, you know, with concern, you know, I've been on the island a long time, so you know I understand a lot about

00:16:10.000 --> 00:16:25.000

the history of why Ericsson doesn't go through and so, when we, when we, when we look at the traffic grid in Winslow, we look at these projects on Ericsson, even if it's just the 2

00:16:25.000 --> 00:16:36.000

proposed to, particularly perhaps, the high school. We were just wondering how and now I'm going to speak personally.

00:16:36.000 --> 00:16:48.000

I, I get uncomfortable looking at those 2 projects, with perhaps 2 , separate traffic studies that maybe are talking to each other.

00:16:48.000 --> 00:16:54.000

How do you see the planning department in the city? Kind of managing?

00:16:54.000 --> 00:17:02.000

You know what is going to reshape substantially everything on Ericsson, and then the intersection.

00:17:02.000 --> 00:17:08.000

Perhaps at Hildebrand, and obviously Winslow way, just just this is a real kind of out there.

00:17:08.000 --> 00:17:18.000

What's going to happen, and how do we plan to minimize what is an inevitable impact.

00:17:18.000 --> 00:17:26.000

I'm not just a simple thing out there there's existing zoning they can.

00:17:26.000 --> 00:17:37.000

They can apply under that and analyze it and make sure that there's no impact. or they could wait for the Winslow sub area plan to come through which may have a new vision.

00:17:37.000 --> 00:17:46.000

Definitely a lot more analysis. we can't prevent either if they apply in the short term which they that highlight has indicated.

00:17:46.000 --> 00:17:50.000

Analyze it and review it in context of what's already in place.

00:17:50.000 --> 00:18:05.000

Yeah, and I mean, I think I think, whether or not the traffic studies submitted individually for those development projects.

00:18:05.000 --> 00:18:15.000

Include. you know, take into account that the other project is in in process, or may happen.

00:18:15.000 --> 00:18:21.000

We can ask our development engineering team that question and get back to you.

00:18:21.000 --> 00:18:36.000

I do see. So not all transportation work and analysis will need to wait till after the Winslow sub area plan, because, as we mentioned and the Council is actually slated, or will consider adopting the sustainable

00:18:36.000 --> 00:18:47.000

transportation plan tomorrow night, and some alternatives for how to organize and approach the the

00:18:47.000 --> 00:18:55.000

You know the work that's outlined in the sustainable transportation plan will have to be done over a number of years.

00:18:55.000 --> 00:19:04.000

And so provided a couple different alternatives for how to organize and prioritize the work in the session transportation plan.

00:19:04.000 --> 00:19:09.000

So I would encourage you to look at that if you're interested.

00:19:09.000 --> 00:19:14.000

But you know we can also get back to you as far as

00:19:14.000 --> 00:19:20.000

These projects, and how their traffic will be, you know.

00:19:20.000 --> 00:19:26.000

Thank you. Yep. if i'm gonna jump in with a question first, Dana.

00:19:26.000 --> 00:19:40.000

Sorry. Is there a process for that updating you know This is the Design Review Board, and it could be argued that transportation and the mono transportation that is generated from a design?

00:19:40.000 --> 00:19:46.000

And is while we don't have any real bearing on it it's a concern.

00:19:46.000 --> 00:20:05.000

So is there a mechanism to get that reporting from the transportation Department over whoever would be looking at that related to the sustainable transportation plan, or as far as an individual project no kind of looking at you

00:20:05.000 --> 00:20:22.000

know now, with 2 or 2 individual projects, and So for so generally a project of of a particular size that may generate a certain number of trips.

00:20:22.000 --> 00:20:27.000

Will require a traffic study to be submitted with the land.

00:20:27.000 --> 00:20:35.000

Use permit, whether that land use permit is the site planar view, or a subdivision or or a conditional use permit.

00:20:35.000 --> 00:20:51.000

So it wouldn't be submitted generally with the pre-application conference, but it will be would be required with that future land use permit, and it will be in the you know it'll be available in this

00:20:51.000 --> 00:21:00.000

Markov record at that time, and should look at cumulative impacts existing in known projects.

00:21:00.000 --> 00:21:20.000

Oh, I so I think maybe when when you're looking out at your future agendas and you know maybe one of these projects is gonna be coming up, you could request from the planner or from Dave to see whether or not someone from the

00:21:20.000 --> 00:21:27.000

development engineering staff could help out and be a resource to the Design Review Board during your meeting.

00:21:27.000 --> 00:21:33.000

Is it if I talk roughly about that timeline?

00:21:33.000 --> 00:21:39.000

Are you saying that the transportation study might not come out till after the design review?

00:21:39.000 --> 00:21:46.000

Review the Design Review Board review, because it wouldn't be until they need to by for the permit.

00:21:46.000 --> 00:21:51.000

It would be when they apply for their land use, permit not their building permit.

00:21:51.000 --> 00:21:56.000

So I think the design report still does have meeting at the land use.

00:21:56.000 --> 00:22:03.000

Permit stage right? No, Jennifer of the new process.

00:22:03.000 --> 00:22:06.000

All the meetings would occur during the pre-application phase.

00:22:06.000 --> 00:22:20.000

Oh, okay, dear me, Well, You know we can work with our Public Works Department to try to help you understand?

00:22:20.000 --> 00:22:32.000

Then, for the traffic information that's in the file okay, so so that David and David, as you would be before we start It's it's in place.

00:22:32.000 --> 00:22:40.000

I'm sorry todd could you clarify I missed the beginning of that question, so the land use permit would be before

00:22:40.000 --> 00:22:47.000

Our first meetings. No, your your 3 meetings would occur before any formal land use application.

00:22:47.000 --> 00:22:53.000

Okay, Yeah, and I'll also add and I know i'm interrupting you guys to have your hands up.

00:22:53.000 --> 00:22:57.000

Thank you for your patience. Just add to what you're discussing public works will come in April.

00:22:57.000 --> 00:23:03.000

I believe mid-april to talk about the sewer implications that you asked that during the last meeting on Erickson.

00:23:03.000 --> 00:23:06.000

So they're lined up to join you as a special guest in April.

00:23:06.000 --> 00:23:09.000

But i'll step aside Now I know i'm taking others time here. thank you.

00:23:09.000 --> 00:23:17.000

Yep Let's go let me i'll be a little little tricky here, and it was your questions in line with what we're talking about.

00:23:17.000 --> 00:23:27.000

Now, or a different topic. i've added questions and then, Joe and Bob, do you have questions for this line of questioning?

00:23:27.000 --> 00:23:34.000

. Okay, So and why? don't you go ahead with your questions along with that. have to do with this question.

00:23:34.000 --> 00:23:52.000

If before we change to the next great great. so as far as the traffic studies go, my understanding is, we see a project and and have some sort of a approval over the site plan specifically including the site.

00:23:52.000 --> 00:24:02.000

Access and then they study the traffic indicate that the what happens based on that site access in any traffic study.

00:24:02.000 --> 00:24:16.000

So when they're doing traffic studies they're not looking at multiple different potential points of access for each parcel have is that accurate i'm here?

00:24:16.000 --> 00:24:35.000

Thank you. Yeah, I think if if there was a site where there were options for different access, that probably would be evaluated, you know, and the public work staff might have a might prefer 1 point over another for some reason.

00:24:35.000 --> 00:24:45.000

Okay, but only. but in that case it would have to be something, a site that has has possible multiple possible access points.

00:24:45.000 --> 00:24:59.000

Considering the environmental state of the of that site, I think our I think our our traffic analysis.

00:24:59.000 --> 00:25:15.000

You know I think we can require the information that we need from their traffic professionals to help evaluate the project, and then, sorry.

00:25:15.000 --> 00:25:28.000

You know this traffic is. Yes, you know we we know that a really big report that a lot of modeling and data goes into gets turned in. But since we're not the ones that evaluate it, we might not be the

00:25:28.000 --> 00:25:34.000

best you know. but you know perhaps David If there's a lot of traffic.

00:25:34.000 --> 00:25:40.000

How do you do? Traffic analysis questions? Maybe that can be tacked on to your future meeting.

00:25:40.000 --> 00:25:54.000

When you talk about utilities noted I will I will add that to the request for their upcoming discussion about sewer and just, and i'm not sure we don't I don't know that we have the authority

00:25:54.000 --> 00:26:00.000

over access points and term cuts and things okay I don't I don't believe that rests with us.

00:26:00.000 --> 00:26:05.000

Anyone can contradictory if that's not true but I know.

00:26:05.000 --> 00:26:11.000

In one case you'll have oversight and input on site circulation.

00:26:11.000 --> 00:26:18.000

Yeah itself. which way? But I require some answers that involve.

00:26:18.000 --> 00:26:26.000

You know the discussion going beyond the sidewalk. Thank you.

00:26:26.000 --> 00:26:32.000

Okay, and joke, Bob, do you have questions on this line of questioning. Well, i'll go first.

00:26:32.000 --> 00:26:34.000

I'd like to hear what Joe has to say before I blab.

00:26:34.000 --> 00:26:41.000

Okay, So thank you, Bob. to the honorable gentleman from the Battle Point Neighborhood.

00:26:41.000 --> 00:26:54.000

Thank you. I I just want to step back and look at the five-mile picture here and go back to Vicki's point about Ericsson.

00:26:54.000 --> 00:27:05.000

Okay, I moved here a little history I moved here in 1,995 Erickson all these years, because always been designated.

00:27:05.000 --> 00:27:16.000

It's a special street as a zone as an area that was to look that differently when I joined I'm coming on my sixth year on the design review board.

00:27:16.000 --> 00:27:34.000

And when I first came on the there was an Erickson Zone said, had design standards that were different than other streets, and that to me was a statement that the city took Ericsson to be a special place that it took

00:27:34.000 --> 00:27:41.000

it to be something different. developed its own standards, and there was an Erickson zone.

00:27:41.000 --> 00:27:50.000

Okay, You know that they were smaller, more more country, more farm-like homes and gable pitched growth, etc.

00:27:50.000 --> 00:27:57.000

And so the city was saying that that was important. Subsequently we have the design.

00:27:57.000 --> 00:28:04.000

For Bainbridge book that was come up in 2,019, and for whatever reason, and i'm not sure why?

00:28:04.000 --> 00:28:09.000

Because I was involved in it. Somehow, that erickson's own went away.

00:28:09.000 --> 00:28:15.000

That category disappeared, and it is no longer a category.

00:28:15.000 --> 00:28:21.000

So the city is now saying, Well, the street's not that important not unique.

00:28:21.000 --> 00:28:39.000

It doesn't need its own standards etc now we have 3 projects coming up, even if the third one isn't in line with the city permit process and planning classes that will be soon, and and to

00:28:39.000 --> 00:28:44.000

vicki's point there's 3 there's 3 projects coming up.

00:28:44.000 --> 00:28:49.000

It's gonna have a huge impact on that sobbed and the Verixon.

00:28:49.000 --> 00:28:53.000

You have a terrible intersection that Winslow way.

00:28:53.000 --> 00:29:06.000

We know that's a terrible intersection We have the park problem with the street turns into Hildebrand, and at the end of Hilda, if we're ever to open that up the north end of Hildebrand

00:29:06.000 --> 00:29:17.000

is another terrible intersection on high school road. Those are really planning problems, planning and public work problems.

00:29:17.000 --> 00:29:29.000

And what this whole process in this discussion is saying that the city just listening to the conversation here today. there's no mechanism to look at that in totality.

00:29:29.000 --> 00:29:41.000

Oh, there is. well, not really I really what you're hearing is this is not Project Review, and there are standards and they don't have to wait for the sub area planet

00:29:41.000 --> 00:29:49.000

No that doesn't. mean the issues aren't important no but what it means, is it's still to to that we're doing things piecemeal.

00:29:49.000 --> 00:30:02.000

We still have individual projects who have individual transportation studies that we can't even see before we review the projects that have impact impact on these intersections that haven't even been addressed.

00:30:02.000 --> 00:30:17.000

But the public works, and all i'm saying is that the 2 things I'm saying in the big picture is the city no longer issaying that Ericsson is a special place and we just need to recognize that that's the case secondly how do

00:30:17.000 --> 00:30:23.000

we address all those things. So I have to i'm gonna disagree.

00:30:23.000 --> 00:30:29.000

I think i'm gonna respectfully push back sure Clinton please. one

00:30:29.000 --> 00:30:38.000

Erickson does have a separate is a separate district as you all know there's 5 sub districts within the mixed use town center.

00:30:38.000 --> 00:30:52.000

So Erickson. I think up to nectar is the Ericsson district. The properties related to the comments of Plan amendment are actually core district.

00:30:52.000 --> 00:31:01.000

What recently changed Isn't the eric's and development standards, the Erics and development standards are still different.

00:31:01.000 --> 00:31:05.000

Then the Madison District Development Center is in the core development standards.

00:31:05.000 --> 00:31:12.000

They are still different. The setbacks, the maximum building, footprint, the height, the far, those are all still different.

00:31:12.000 --> 00:31:21.000

What recently changed with your original design for Bainbridge work that was approved in September.

00:31:21.000 --> 00:31:34.000

The twentieth 19 is that the individual design guidelines are not just for the Erickson district, but for each of those mixed-use town center districts, the Madison District very terminal core all of those districts

00:31:34.000 --> 00:31:41.000

have have their own very similar design guidelines but slightly different.

00:31:41.000 --> 00:31:49.000

And really one of the things that made the design guidelines different was putting the development standards into the design guidelines.

00:31:49.000 --> 00:32:01.000

So one of the when you guys were doing design for bainbridge, you realize, like, Well, why do we have to have the map, the building footprint, or the setbacks in the design guidelines?

00:32:01.000 --> 00:32:16.000

They're already in the development standards table so when when design for Bay Bridge, totally reworked all of your design guidelines and got rid of the different design guidelines for the mixed use town center individual sub

00:32:16.000 --> 00:32:25.000

districts. that's part of what happens is that the design guidelines related to stand the development standards are just in the code.

00:32:25.000 --> 00:32:31.000

And now the design guidelines for more related to actual design, right and then.

00:32:31.000 --> 00:32:46.000

And okay. So the decision was made and i'm sorry it wasn't my project. So I can't really say speak to why the decision was Well, yeah, no, no, the decision was made

to have the design guidelines really

00:32:46.000 --> 00:32:58.000

integrate like context more, and to and to have them apply across the mixed use town center in the same way.

00:32:58.000 --> 00:33:10.000

So that's one thing that changes you're remembering the the pictures in the old design guidelines, where it was like a picture of the craftsman house to show how there was like a 412

00:33:10.000 --> 00:33:16.000

roof right? And so that picture went away. But we have you know, development standards.

00:33:16.000 --> 00:33:33.000

Joe could or Todd could I interject one more history thing about Ericson, and I've been here a long time, so I've watched the Erikson argument and the folks on Erickson many many years ago, did

00:33:33.000 --> 00:33:40.000

not want that street put through because they they were very concerned about traffic.

00:33:40.000 --> 00:33:44.000

That zigzag thing that we all do through that parking lot.

00:33:44.000 --> 00:33:50.000

That is a private piece of property, and that owner quite a few years ago.

00:33:50.000 --> 00:33:59.000

Once shut it off on the advice of their insurance agent, and at that point it was owned by the green family in Seattle. I'm.

00:33:59.000 --> 00:34:15.000

Not sure if they still own it. So when we think about these 3 projects on Ericsson and the struggles at that intersection on Winslow way, Another huge risk factor is the fact that we really have no right to drive through

00:34:15.000 --> 00:34:22.000

that parking lot. So on the on the table has got to be the conversation about.

00:34:22.000 --> 00:34:37.000

Should that street beach put right straight through and should that be dealt with once and for all that's part of where i'm saying, you know we need a we need a urban traffic flow pattern for

00:34:37.000 --> 00:34:50.000

Winslow. you know if you look at Kingston, and how they manage getting to and fro from the ferry. If you look at Admins and how Edmonds forces its people to only

certain I mean they don't want the

00:34:50.000 --> 00:35:01.000

very traffic downtown. Is that part of the conversation where the ferry traffic can't go downtown Now we force it to high school road.

00:35:01.000 --> 00:35:06.000

Are we going to force it all the way to New Brooklyn to get out on the highway to go to the ferry?

00:35:06.000 --> 00:35:14.000

All the people on the South Island so it wants it's a it's a big conversation, but that parking lot thing every time I drive through it.

00:35:14.000 --> 00:35:22.000

I think. Oh, boy, tomorrow tomorrow it could be blocked off, and legitimately they could block it off.

00:35:22.000 --> 00:35:29.000

Okay, yeah. So there's there's the sustainable transportation plan.

00:35:29.000 --> 00:35:34.000

And then there's the city. besides the sustainable transportation, planning effort.

00:35:34.000 --> 00:35:44.000

We have an island wide transportation plan that was up, updated in 2,017 along with the last comprehensive plan.

00:35:44.000 --> 00:36:01.000

Update and So there is information and it's been studied about these kind of choke points or difficult intersections. and you know.

00:36:01.000 --> 00:36:06.000

So the sustainable transportation play may result in other updates.

00:36:06.000 --> 00:36:13.000

The Iowa. My transportation plan and like I said will definitely influence the Winslow's sub area, planning effort.

00:36:13.000 --> 00:36:20.000

Excellent. Bob, did you have a observation on this line of questioning?

00:36:20.000 --> 00:36:33.000

I think Zoom is the people from the city staff can see There's I don't know what the right word is.

00:36:33.000 --> 00:36:38.000

We have questions on the Drb. Those of us that are volunteers, and the Drb.

00:36:38.000 --> 00:36:51.000

Were here because we want to so we like what's going on I know. So I've I've been criticized by my wife, or why is it Yori supporting the city?

00:36:51.000 --> 00:36:57.000

You never used to. Yeah, I think when I was really happy.

00:36:57.000 --> 00:37:09.000

When I heard that Vicki wanted to bring this stuff up because I must admit i'm so starting to get a little uncomfortable, which is unusual for me, because I I have been Mark knows what meetings have had

00:37:09.000 --> 00:37:16.000

with him. i'm very in jennifer i'm always been pro city on this stuff, and I want to continue that way.

00:37:16.000 --> 00:37:21.000

But I guess sort of felt like stuff that's being swept under the carpet.

00:37:21.000 --> 00:37:32.000

Maybe not intentionally, but it is. And so one question I have is Eric sends, and I looked on the I looked on the zoning map.

00:37:32.000 --> 00:37:45.000

Joe Ericson does have jennifer says it's It's got a separate zoning district map up through nectar traffic.

00:37:45.000 --> 00:37:59.000

Errics and projects concern me. If lyrics Erickson's a neural street concerned about the intersection in Winslow way, I think all of us on the Drb.

00:37:59.000 --> 00:38:03.000

Know that traffic studies always pass a 100% of the time.

00:38:03.000 --> 00:38:12.000

We question the winter green, but we didn't push it too hard, because we know it was a relevant, because the city would say it approves.

00:38:12.000 --> 00:38:26.000

And so one of the things that i've kind of wondered about is it just seems to me, as some of the intersections, like down in Eric's and Winslow way that the intersection type needs to be

00:38:26.000 --> 00:38:39.000

amended. I think there's intersections a through f and they pass because I guess no one's screwed around with the air sections on the island that go with some national standard i'm not sure that that's

00:38:39.000 --> 00:38:48.000

correct for the island, I think there's certain intersections that it means having to amend the intersection tied from a type E to a type, c.

00:38:48.000 --> 00:38:55.000

For example. and maybe that's what needs to happen because all these studies passed everyone on this.

00:38:55.000 --> 00:39:06.000

And this video knows that Oh, that's not that's not true Bob, that's a that's a blanket statement and speak for yourself. You know i've done plenty of transportation studies that lead to things that have to change

00:39:06.000 --> 00:39:16.000

and that are changed on the island. No not on the island but no i'm talking about the island. I I can't, but you've got a transportation engineer.

00:39:16.000 --> 00:39:24.000

They have a They have a a professional role to play. I understand all that, but I also know that the inner support.

00:39:24.000 --> 00:39:31.000

So so, Bob, so so bothers that yes, the inner, the intersections.

00:39:31.000 --> 00:39:39.000

They are, they do have ratings or level of service I think it's called right.

00:39:39.000 --> 00:39:50.000

So there's both level of service. for road segments and intersections, and there's adopted level of service, which I think I think it is in this island Wide transportation plan.

00:39:50.000 --> 00:40:02.000

So so changing. changing That is possible. you know it's a legislative action to update the the I am my transportation plan.

00:40:02.000 --> 00:40:08.000

Okay so that that's one thing and then just related to traffic studies.

00:40:08.000 --> 00:40:22.000

It's. not that they they're not passing or failing, they're just providing information to the city staff to say like, Okay, does does this project have to do mitigation?

00:40:22.000 --> 00:40:29.000

And if so, what type of mitigation? and how to do it? and where you know.

00:40:29.000 --> 00:40:35.000

So so that's so so it's not necessarily that it passes.

00:40:35.000 --> 00:40:46.000

But how it impacts the conditions on a project or or if it, if it makes something change about a project, you know.

00:40:46.000 --> 00:41:05.000

Yeah, i'm listening. Okay, Well, that's mitigation maybe that's a better way to go about it, and in general everything seems to 5 pretty well, and I guess i've always been concerned with these new projects coming on about

00:41:05.000 --> 00:41:13.000

the intersection that tie into erickson avenue and the type of intersections that they're defined by.

00:41:13.000 --> 00:41:18.000

In other words, how long a car has to wait before they can pull out into traffic?

00:41:18.000 --> 00:41:27.000

Along that line. I have tried to get the copy of the latest island-wide traffic.

00:41:27.000 --> 00:41:37.000

He had gotten 1 2 years ago. but this year they told me that I had to put in a I guess a open order, fifo request or whatever.

00:41:37.000 --> 00:41:42.000

Then they said, Well, you're on the drb so the Drb. they could.

00:41:42.000 --> 00:42:01.000

They could probably request traffic study or the latest traffic map for the island, which has the average, like 85 percentile miles per hour, and the information at the intersections and stuff, you know, reviewing the for the design review board for

00:42:01.000 --> 00:42:08.000

going over these projects on bricks, and it just seems like that would be a handy map to have, and I know I.

00:42:08.000 --> 00:42:18.000

They're interested. i'm curious what was it was 2,012 is another another point, so you can the

00:42:18.000 --> 00:42:23.000

The current island. wide transportation plan can be viewed from the city website.

00:42:23.000 --> 00:42:31.000

So, and we can have look merlin brought Marlene you're listening and like doing everything in the background.

00:42:31.000 --> 00:42:39.000

How you similarly maybe, can. You can explain how you navigate to it?

00:42:39.000 --> 00:42:45.000

You can, I guess, do it a couple different ways from projects or from the Public Works Department. Page.

00:42:45.000 --> 00:42:53.000

If you select, like the engineering division, then you can kind of go down into transportation.

00:42:53.000 --> 00:42:57.000

Well where I found it. Jennifer is like clicked on comprehensive plan.

00:42:57.000 --> 00:43:01.000

The on the main page here that's how I found it so, mayor, right?

00:43:01.000 --> 00:43:07.000

Yeah, like 5, 10 different ways to get there right yeah it's not load while i'm trying to show you figure.

00:43:07.000 --> 00:43:11.000

Yeah, cause it's an appendices to the comprehensive plan.

00:43:11.000 --> 00:43:16.000

Well here, anyway. here it is. so this is it's right down here. Island Wide transportation plan.

00:43:16.000 --> 00:43:20.000

Yeah, I was looking for the latest traffic study that was done in 2,020.

00:43:20.000 --> 00:43:28.000

I have the one from 2,019, and I have some points from 2,012.

00:43:28.000 --> 00:43:41.000

Are you talking are you talking about project specific traffic studies talk i'm talking about the little tubes that go across the road that they do the traffic study every couple of years the traffic counts it's a very it's a very

00:43:41.000 --> 00:43:48.000

good map. it's got the average miles per hour. and the traffic count all around the island at the intersections.

00:43:48.000 --> 00:44:03.000

It's really handy at least I found it andy I got involved with it strictly by accident, just being a neighborhood activist, for in issue by my where I live, and I've gotten gotten a copy of the map and

00:44:03.000 --> 00:44:16.000

then, so they were kind enough to give me the same traffic to from 2,012 at the same time, by telling I thought about the Erics, and he was about the Erickson Avenue projects.

00:44:16.000 --> 00:44:23.000

I thought, Well, i'd sure like to see the that latest traffic count from last year, and see how that compares.

00:44:23.000 --> 00:44:35.000

Yeah, that's the one right there is that in is that in the that of thing. Yeah. So if you oh, i'll find it. Then I'm: yeah, just hit appendix when you get to the island wide transportation plan.

00:44:35.000 --> 00:44:41.000

Page. if you hit Appendix you'll see some maps and some accident, perhaps in China.

00:44:41.000 --> 00:44:45.000

Yeah, I can get it there because I think, or Vicki what you were talking about.

00:44:45.000 --> 00:45:01.000

And Joe, I just find this this map to be very very informative because it's got the speed limit, and you know the amount of traffic at each intersection, and I know and I was very interested to know how it would change particularly

00:45:01.000 --> 00:45:12.000

since Well, that assumes we know how to read this I don't know it's it's vicki it's treatable.

00:45:12.000 --> 00:45:30.000

It really otherwise I Wouldn't. have asked is it was school Yeah, but this But this is the document where those level of services for different intersections like, is it A. D or an E those adopted level of services that this is a document that was approved

00:45:30.000 --> 00:45:39.000

by council, where those are adopted 200, and if they want to be, if we, if they want to be changed, then it takes a council action to to change them.

00:45:39.000 --> 00:45:51.000

So all right you could that's good information to have because it's I know for me it's a concern on some of these projects that I feel like the intersection doesn't have the right identification on it

00:45:51.000 --> 00:46:07.000

that's that's all I have thank you very much Vicki i'd like to go back to something, Jennifer said, which is also where we started the conversation, and we've got 2 projects for sure probably a third,

00:46:07.000 --> 00:46:12.000

on Ericsson. we know we have a problem at both ends of the street.

00:46:12.000 --> 00:46:21.000

We have access issues, the intersection on Winslow way, the the issues with with the dead end on Ericsson, etc.

00:46:21.000 --> 00:46:28.000

And and say each of those projects are going to be required to do a traffic study.

00:46:28.000 --> 00:46:35.000

But each project they're not doing them simultaneously they're going to be separated by perhaps by a two-year timeframe.

00:46:35.000 --> 00:46:48.000

So the last project in there with their traffic study could be the ones where the straw breaks the camels back, and the mitigation burden falls on that single project and let's pretend it's not the

00:46:48.000 --> 00:46:57.000

big project behavior scrimmer project let's pretend It's the Hrb Project a small tight budget affordable housing project.

00:46:57.000 --> 00:47:10.000

And now all the mitigation is there responsibility? So the way I look at it is the whole thing somehow, or rather, since we know as the Hrb.

00:47:10.000 --> 00:47:22.000

And we all know is the Drb. We all now know now that these 3 things are there, and how, and and we can't delay.

00:47:22.000 --> 00:47:27.000

You know the affordable housing project or the the decisions on highless school to wait to the other project.

00:47:27.000 --> 00:47:32.000

It gets by the city council, and is ready to be in the same queue.

00:47:32.000 --> 00:47:41.000

So how does this get managed? given given the constraints?

00:47:41.000 --> 00:47:55.000

I've just described I mean what do what do we do it's really quite unfair to the last project to be the one that gets to pay for everything. I don't know if that's the case so you can ask that question of

00:47:55.000 --> 00:48:05.000

the development engineering team. Aside from what of what?

00:48:05.000 --> 00:48:16.000

You know what things on the ground might have to be done in order to mitigate or manage traffic for any particular development proposal.

00:48:16.000 --> 00:48:32.000

There's also traffic impact fees that projects need to pay for depending on the type of use, and i'm very sorry I'm having trouble bringing up my municipal code.

00:48:32.000 --> 00:48:42.000

But I believe that there is either a total traffic impact, fee, waiver for affordable housing or a reduction in the traffic impact fee.

00:48:42.000 --> 00:48:50.000

Oh, here it comes for affordable housing so that's one thing, and I just want to

00:48:50.000 --> 00:49:10.000

I just want to clarify that, the he said. Suppose suppose the Hr. B. project is last in the door, and this bigger project further south gets to come in without you know, triggering as much work and I just want to reiterate

00:49:10.000 --> 00:49:25.000

that what's on the table right now is not a development project Oh, I I just probably understand that it's a comprehensive plan amendment that will take probably most of the year to review through the legislative process

00:49:25.000 --> 00:49:37.000

right, and then you have to assume that it gets approved or approved as requested. and then those properties would need to begin the development review process.

00:49:37.000 --> 00:49:49.000

So and I don't I don't so I don't think you can I don't so in the near term they're not that they're not the same you know because we've don't even know what we don't even

00:49:49.000 --> 00:49:56.000

know if the conference plan amendment will get approved or it might but but say it doesn't get approved playing devil's advocate.

00:49:56.000 --> 00:50:01.000

Yeah, there will be something built there, and if you drive up Ericsson, there are other.

00:50:01.000 --> 00:50:09.000

They can, pieces of property in some underutilized properties, and some properties that are good candidates for adaptive reuse.

00:50:09.000 --> 00:50:21.000

Ericsson needs a master plan, you know maybe that's the wrong terminology, but I believe very strongly because of its situation.

00:50:21.000 --> 00:50:27.000

It's a looming issue, and and people I haven't been on the Drb.

00:50:27.000 --> 00:50:31.000

That long. But people say to me, How did you let that Xyz happen?

00:50:31.000 --> 00:50:40.000

And I can hear it already. Why did you let xyz happen on Ericsson? and i'm just trying to figure out I mean it's not like Todd said.

00:50:40.000 --> 00:50:56.000

It's really kind of not in our wheelhouse but we're nervous. i'm nervous, because you know here we are right now with 2 projects and there could be 5 over the next 5 years right and but

00:50:56.000 --> 00:51:01.000

I think and you can jump in if it's the same topic.

00:51:01.000 --> 00:51:06.000

It is. Yeah, So Erickson is within the Winslow sub area. correct.

00:51:06.000 --> 00:51:11.000

So this Windslob area plan update will cover Ericsson.

00:51:11.000 --> 00:51:27.000

So It may be that as as they're figuring out how to set up committees to to kind of work through this process of the subaria plan update, maybe there's a subcommittee for looking specifically at

00:51:27.000 --> 00:51:36.000

Ericsson. but it seems like that these issues are issues that should be covered as part of the windslows of area plan.

00:51:36.000 --> 00:51:45.000

Update. Yes, transportation is a big part of the Winslow sub area plan.

00:51:45.000 --> 00:52:01.000

Update the existing island. White transportation plan and the modeling that was done to create it is did my model out development based on existing zoning, such as what the projects are proposing.

00:52:01.000 --> 00:52:11.000

So So you should know that under the State Growth management law, our land use and our transportation planning have to think up so.

00:52:11.000 --> 00:52:16.000

So that will be done through the Winslow Subaria.

00:52:16.000 --> 00:52:20.000

It was done through the last comprehensive plan update.

00:52:20.000 --> 00:52:25.000

It will be done through the Winslow Sub area plan update. and the next conference of plan update.

00:52:25.000 --> 00:52:30.000

Yeah, and I think that's I just want to remind everyone and Vicki brought it up there, too.

00:52:30.000 --> 00:52:35.000

We are concerned about traffic, but again that's the that's outside of what we're

doing.

00:52:35.000 --> 00:52:43.000

So if people say how did you let that happen it's like you know he had a grip, We don't We don't have control over that.

00:52:43.000 --> 00:52:49.000

We're all working and within a stream that's been flowing for years, and thankfully it's going to be updated

00:52:49.000 --> 00:52:53.000

2,006. Seems like it was a long time ago, but

00:52:53.000 --> 00:52:57.000

So there was a missed opportunity 5 years ago, maybe to do this.

00:52:57.000 --> 00:53:03.000

And we have to work within what has been adopted and what the zoning is.

00:53:03.000 --> 00:53:11.000

And, as Jennifer said, the the traffic studies are done with the best knowledge of what could happen.

00:53:11.000 --> 00:53:18.000

So they're not just looking at these these parcels but other parcels that can be developed and used to their fullest potential.

00:53:18.000 --> 00:53:24.000

And I think that's one thing that we have to realize is this is the downtown core This is where development should happen.

00:53:24.000 --> 00:53:29.000

And is that going to replace other buildings? Yes, is it going to change the way it looks?

00:53:29.000 --> 00:53:41.000

Yes, but that's where it should be that you can walk to the ferry that there's all the amenities there, that that's the development that we want and that's really I think where our in our realm.

00:53:41.000 --> 00:53:51.000

Of influence is, is making sure that that replacement design is thorough, thoughtful, and has thought of all these things.

00:53:51.000 --> 00:53:58.000

So it's it's great and and we should talk to the development planners, and that make sure that that our answers are being considered.

00:53:58.000 --> 00:54:05.000

But you know we can't really hold up what We're doing until we get an update on all

that stuff.

00:54:05.000 --> 00:54:09.000

I think Anna probably still has some questions that she had.

00:54:09.000 --> 00:54:26.000

Before we started on this line of question. i'm looking at my list to see, and he really any really easy questions like about affordable housing or underground parking.

00:54:26.000 --> 00:54:32.000

How about this one? have you selected? Are Is the city working with consultants for this project?

00:54:32.000 --> 00:54:44.000

And if so, have they been selected there is a very good chance that we will use a consultant for some or much of the project.

00:54:44.000 --> 00:54:50.000

But that hasn't been finalized and so that process hasn't started.

00:54:50.000 --> 00:55:02.000

I think it's a good guess but that decision hasn't been made Great thanks. very good.

00:55:02.000 --> 00:55:06.000

Carlene, how are we doing on our agenda?

00:55:06.000 --> 00:55:16.000

We were supposed to be done, but even not surprise. We went over.

00:55:16.000 --> 00:55:23.000

Do we have any other questions for Mark or or Jennifer before we let them go?

00:55:23.000 --> 00:55:27.000

Well, Mark is gonna give you guys a mark on the I don't let him go.

00:55:27.000 --> 00:55:36.000

Yeah. Okay, can you welcome? I would just like to know

00:55:36.000 --> 00:55:42.000

What can the Design Review board do to support the Winslow sub area plan as as you're creating this process?

00:55:42.000 --> 00:55:52.000

And what's the what's the best role for us to play in this I would say, yet to be determined.

00:55:52.000 --> 00:56:04.000

But you know i'm sure over the course of the project will be coming to you with

updates.

00:56:04.000 --> 00:56:21.000

Don't like as we mentioned earlier, not sure what kind of Stakeholder group may or may not be convenient, or or citizen committee, as you can imagine, the Winslow sub area Plans really would

00:56:21.000 --> 00:56:32.000

touch most city committees. you know stark preservation, utility, Advisory Committee, Public art, You know it.

00:56:32.000 --> 00:56:38.000

It really is a full city interdepartmental project.

00:56:38.000 --> 00:56:54.000

So yet to be determined and stay tuned i'm sure we will be coming to you for your guidance on something, or requesting a volunteer for for something just to add to that our internal conversation starts at

00:56:54.000 --> 00:57:01.000

8 m tomorrow morning. But these very questions we're so I can say it's 2 parts it's it's gonna be collaborative.

00:57:01.000 --> 00:57:09.000

So it's gonna be on be beyond just reporting back to on status, and where the group have it'll be inclusive.

00:57:09.000 --> 00:57:14.000

And It's key to have you know planning Commission You know the Echobe Advisory Committee.

00:57:14.000 --> 00:57:22.000

Drb: You know all perspectives included in the actual process not just updated on processes, you know.

00:57:22.000 --> 00:57:35.000

If if we could just have a couple more weeks to hammer out some internal issues, present this to the city manager, and then we'll have a clear the cost for you great Thank you.

00:57:35.000 --> 00:57:46.000

Thank you. So we should move on to the hiring process update , Thank you.

00:57:46.000 --> 00:57:53.000

Thank you, Jennifer. Yes, thank you if we're on the next item.

00:57:53.000 --> 00:58:03.000

I don't have anything formal marlene contacted me last week, and forwarded that you had a question on the hiring process.

00:58:03.000 --> 00:58:09.000

And could it be added to the agenda so I said yes, i'm available for any questions?

00:58:09.000 --> 00:58:17.000

But the update is that the process is is fast becoming better known.

00:58:17.000 --> 00:58:23.000

In other words, interviews are scheduled. I believe the city manager will last. Friday indicated.

00:58:23.000 --> 00:58:42.000

There were 9 potential interview candidates, so for next week in the first second week of April, and until then I don't believe there's any input as to what is contained or not contained in that candidate form I can I can tell you

00:58:42.000 --> 00:58:47.000

from my first perspective, or my ad one i'm grateful for this opportunity.

00:58:47.000 --> 00:58:55.000

5 months. Now it's it's i'm really glad to have this opportunity, and to have done this It's been very educational.

00:58:55.000 --> 00:59:00.000

I'm banged on but the one known is that in Rome.

00:59:00.000 --> 00:59:12.000

Status is coming to an end before the first hour serving in this capacity was clear with the city manager that I would not be a candidate, and I would not apply, and not be considered.

00:59:12.000 --> 00:59:20.000

And so i've approached it with a mindset of of doing quality work that whole time, and not you know feet up on the desk waiting.

00:59:20.000 --> 00:59:26.000

And that's fast coming to an end luckily in April I'm.

00:59:26.000 --> 00:59:32.000

Moving to Redmond for personal reasons and permanently moving in with my partner hiding.

00:59:32.000 --> 00:59:52.000

So i'll be fast shifting from a work perspective to a personal life choice that I want to pursue that's clear. and so i'll be transitioning out and at this point it doesn't look like there will

00:59:52.000 --> 00:59:58.000

be overlap in time from a permanent candidate from my inner position.

00:59:58.000 --> 01:00:07.000

But the definitely be handoff and information transferred through the city manager.
But that's the extent of what I know.

01:00:07.000 --> 01:00:16.000

At this point the question might have also been david's replacement. Also, Mark, if there was anything you could share on that as well.

01:00:16.000 --> 01:00:29.000

Yeah, Unfortunately, I'm sure you've heard David greetham is retiring. Yeah, he's very lucky and his time with the city ends on by April first.

01:00:29.000 --> 01:00:36.000

So he's transitioning out i'm very glad for him and for his work.

01:00:36.000 --> 01:00:48.000

It's gonna make some difficulty. in april and may as to still doing quality work and transferring workloads and responsibilities. obligations.

01:00:48.000 --> 01:00:52.000

City manager has received feedback on all possibilities.

01:00:52.000 --> 01:01:07.000

Those regards and other staffing issues that we're trying to address, and he went to a conference last week, and I imagine that discussion will continue this week with the hope of providing those resources as soon as possible.

01:01:07.000 --> 01:01:15.000

So that there is no gap that the work that we've done addressing the permit backlog can continue, and that there is no, you know.

01:01:15.000 --> 01:01:29.000

Stop in activity until staffing is fully in place But it will be a challenge, and we're trying over the next 2 weeks to to limit that challenge for the planning manager position.

01:01:29.000 --> 01:01:47.000

There's a lot of institutional knowledge that will be going up the door with David, followed quickly by a transition from interim director to permanent director. But we have faith in existing staff to relay that information keep things going and then

01:01:47.000 --> 01:01:59.000

put resources in place through the summer. Then every expectation that all the work efforts that we've discussed here and and in other venues will continue. nothing. Nothing stops.

01:01:59.000 --> 01:02:04.000

And so it's a work in progress I guess for today 2 things, one be aware of it.

01:02:04.000 --> 01:02:09.000

The extent of the staffing changes, but to anticipate that there will be new faces.

01:02:09.000 --> 01:02:14.000

And a a high high workload for existing stuff.

01:02:14.000 --> 01:02:23.000

Very good, thank you. Do we know if there be, and interim for David?

01:02:23.000 --> 01:02:29.000

And first I thought we had to vote on David, and I think we were gonna let him go.

01:02:29.000 --> 01:02:34.000

I think you could add an agenda item and and overrule, David.

01:02:34.000 --> 01:02:39.000

I wouldn't grab. Oh, that piece of the design for Bainbridge. that sheet fell out.

01:02:39.000 --> 01:02:44.000

I have a copy of it here, though. Yeah. I would not oppose that.

01:02:44.000 --> 01:03:02.000

Given the circumstances. I think that the duty Western form the city manager, who's well aware of the workloads and obligations and responsibilities inform the city manager of what resources are available give him time to think

01:03:02.000 --> 01:03:15.000

about it and see what's best for the department in the city. and I I think that moment, for the planning manager position is fast coming, followed by interviews for director, followed by an offer, and hopefully a long-term permanent

01:03:15.000 --> 01:03:21.000

highly qualified director, and that being the path forward. Very good.

01:03:21.000 --> 01:03:29.000

Thank you. Mark Joe. Yeah, Thank you. Todd. Hey, Mark?

01:03:29.000 --> 01:03:34.000

I you know I I think the Dr. b i'll speak for the Drb.

01:03:34.000 --> 01:03:41.000

And myself. I think, even when you had a full staff. I think you guys run this understaffed. Yeah, no.

01:03:41.000 --> 01:03:50.000

Even when you were full you know I think there's a lot of workload, and we know that there's been you know, a heavy workload for a long time, you know.

01:03:50.000 --> 01:04:00.000

And i'm just i'm just wondering with with our friend David leaving, and with my understanding is Kelly is going on a sabbatical of some kind.

01:04:00.000 --> 01:04:06.000

We have a really low spot in December, November, December, January think.

01:04:06.000 --> 01:04:20.000

But after we got anna on board then there weren't meetings for some of them several weeks, and i'm just wondering, How do you see the next couple months shaking out? Is there a heavy workload of projects?

01:04:20.000 --> 01:04:27.000

Coming in. Are they going to be projects for Dr. b to review you know, with Kelly being gone, and they've been gone?

01:04:27.000 --> 01:04:32.000

That's you know it's gonna be tough and I'm just wondering how you see the next 2 months.

01:04:32.000 --> 01:04:45.000

Let's say, for workload for Dr. B. a few of the meetings Weren't needed, and I could see that happening again in April and May, some meetings being needed.

01:04:45.000 --> 01:04:52.000

Yeah, I think you're well aware of the the potential projects that you could be reviewing the in that time. period.

01:04:52.000 --> 01:05:03.000

My hope is that we have sets of hands in place to continue that conversation, but I don't see an immediate increase in the Rb.

01:05:03.000 --> 01:05:16.000

Items. but I do see an immediate need to continue these meetings. Kelly won't be going on sabbatical. she's going for I believe 9 days to or 10 days to fiji and will be back

01:05:16.000 --> 01:05:21.000

Okay, I don't know going forward I missed that that Okay. Sorry.

01:05:21.000 --> 01:05:28.000

Yeah, And so there's a lot going on right now, But we do have that internal core to keep things moving forward, and that's the intent.

01:05:28.000 --> 01:05:35.000

But the not moving forward in a sense that all the the weight of all that you know.

01:05:35.000 --> 01:05:44.000

We just went through 2 years of Covid, and no need to repeat all the constraints and and backlog, and so forth.

01:05:44.000 --> 01:05:51.000

The idea is to get the resources in place. to continue forward in a sustainable way for staff, so we don't go crazy.

01:05:51.000 --> 01:05:58.000

We'll try our best there's always a lot of work in some regards there's more work than we can get to.

01:05:58.000 --> 01:06:03.000

But that's where the prioritization comes through and and have hands to do that.

01:06:03.000 --> 01:06:09.000

So whether It's housing action plan or the windsor Slud Area plan it's it's being accommodated.

01:06:09.000 --> 01:06:22.000

The work of the Drb. will continue we'll have a name and face to to offer to you shortly, and that relationship will take some time may not be permanent, but will carry you through the coming.

01:06:22.000 --> 01:06:39.000

Months, I hope is that when the director is offered and accepts and steps in, that they're highly energized, and work with the councils and commissions first and foremost to make sure that you have this staffing that you

01:06:39.000 --> 01:06:48.000

need. If there is no delay. kurt projects current applications are juggled, but they we don't have an option.

01:06:48.000 --> 01:06:53.000

We must review them, so that part is certain, and those aspects will come to Drb.

01:06:53.000 --> 01:06:57.000

We can't choose to you know hey? this isn't a good year?

01:06:57.000 --> 01:07:01.000

Why don't you come back if you get a land use application or do we?

01:07:01.000 --> 01:07:07.000

We're going to bring for so Joe just to let you guys know that the H Rb.

01:07:07.000 --> 01:07:12.000

Ericson project is either going to come april the fourth or April the eighteenth.

01:07:12.000 --> 01:07:18.000

Okay. and So I know that that's possibly coming David I think it's gonna give a update at the end of the meeting.

01:07:18.000 --> 01:07:31.000

But and then the other thing is the public works will be joining us on the eighteenth, as already Manda mentioned. So that's what I know is coming up the pipe, and actually possibly hyla So this kind of

01:07:31.000 --> 01:07:35.000

covers that future topic on our agenda here. But yeah, public works will join us on the 18.

01:07:35.000 --> 01:07:43.000

Thanks, Marlene i'm going to ask public works if they can expand the discussion to cumulative traffic impacts for what we just talked about.

01:07:43.000 --> 01:07:47.000

And then we also have highlight coming back for another round.

01:07:47.000 --> 01:07:53.000

So we think our early April meeting will be a little threadbare, and we'll see if we have that.

01:07:53.000 --> 01:07:57.000

But certainly late April. we have very likely housing resources.

01:07:57.000 --> 01:08:01.000

Bainbridge and Hila both on erickson as we've just talked about.

01:08:01.000 --> 01:08:08.000

So. thank you. So you know, just as a final comment, I appreciate the comments, Mark, and they and my link.

01:08:08.000 --> 01:08:13.000

I would like to say, you know, to Todd and to the Drb.

01:08:13.000 --> 01:08:19.000

That, I think, even if we don't have a full schedule of projects, I think we should make sure we still meet.

01:08:19.000 --> 01:08:24.000

I think we should. We have a lot of ancillary things to talk about like.

01:08:24.000 --> 01:08:34.000

Well, we're talking about here you know so I think we should try not to cancel the meeting just because there are no projects going forward.

01:08:34.000 --> 01:08:44.000

So, anyway. Thank you very much, agreed Joe. Yeah. Bob: Yeah, I just wanted to, you know.

01:08:44.000 --> 01:08:47.000

Thank you and Vicki. I guess it's kind of a piggyback on what Joe said.

01:08:47.000 --> 01:08:55.000

But I just want to thank you and vicki for having this meeting today, because I think that there's a lot of I mean, I certainly don't know everything.

01:08:55.000 --> 01:08:59.000

I continue to learn, probably takes a year for a new Drb.

01:08:59.000 --> 01:09:06.000

Member to even get their feet on the ground. You have to kind of grow into the position and continue to grow.

01:09:06.000 --> 01:09:09.000

And I think these this meeting, like we had today, I mean, I know.

01:09:09.000 --> 01:09:19.000

Once upon a time we were going to Have someone from the Health Department come over and give us a talk about education on the type, c.

01:09:19.000 --> 01:09:24.000

Well, or whatever it's called you know for some of these short class community, well shared, heard.

01:09:24.000 --> 01:09:34.000

Wells, I mean that's really super so I just that wasn't the purpose of my question, but I just want to thank both of you for having this meeting, because I know for me this is tremendous.

01:09:34.000 --> 01:09:38.000

I just think the more learn better off we all are.

01:09:38.000 --> 01:09:53.000

I just wanted to, you know. thank Mark, and you know jennifer. There was a bunch of questions asked earlier today, but the one thing, mark you've always been, I know you were just interim in your brand new, and everything but I know for

01:09:53.000 --> 01:09:59.000

me i've been able to meet with you a few times and you're always very informative.

01:09:59.000 --> 01:10:06.000

I never sensed you were holding anything back you just kind of later. This is what I know, and you've got a also a lot of your.

01:10:06.000 --> 01:10:20.000

You have a lot of experience you're able to contribute so I just want to thank you for you know your contribution, because I I like people that are straight shooters, but you seem to be a straight shooter and I you'll certainly wish

01:10:20.000 --> 01:10:23.000

you continued success in the future. You have a have a lot to offer that's all.

01:10:23.000 --> 01:10:37.000

I had fun. Thanks long. Thank you. and Brickly. Thank you, Bob, to be kind of strayed in this segment to General Project.

01:10:37.000 --> 01:10:42.000

Update I and the High School was mentioned. David or Mark.

01:10:42.000 --> 01:10:55.000

Can, I guess I just need to understand a little bit better and I should have asked, and I didn't understand so they're in the process of being in front of the Drb.

01:10:55.000 --> 01:10:59.000

And it's a conditional use. permit but simultaneously.

01:10:59.000 --> 01:11:08.000

A can. an improvement permit is being, has been applied for, or has been actually been issue.

01:11:08.000 --> 01:11:20.000

I mean so does that mean we're worst case scenario? They're proceeding forward with tenant improvements, but absent.

01:11:20.000 --> 01:11:24.000

A conditional use permit. And what happens if the conditional use for it?

01:11:24.000 --> 01:11:43.000

For some reason doesn't happen how does that work I can give you a little background of what's already taken place, and that'll inform somewhat of where we're at there's 4 buildings there in january

01:11:43.000 --> 01:12:00.000

looking at the permit backlog. one of The phone calls that I was handed was, Oh, why can't we get a bit of tenant improvement for half the building in 3 5 5 So we talked about that we talked internally about cumulative

01:12:00.000 --> 01:12:08.000

and that's in traffic. and the permit at hand for 3, 5, 5 was issuable was not a Cpa issue an environmental issue.

01:12:08.000 --> 01:12:23.000

And so that's been granted and that building permit is being pursued right now that conversation we very much consider the cumulative impacts or their overall concept would be important.

01:12:23.000 --> 01:12:36.000

What was conveyed to me in January, and a permanent backlog sense was that the small occupancy of 3, 5, 5 was a presence on the site.

01:12:36.000 --> 01:12:43.000

But they were not sure the timing or the extent of their overall concept, and would get back to us.

01:12:43.000 --> 01:12:47.000

Does that 3, 5, 5, An interior permit did not involve site.

01:12:47.000 --> 01:12:57.000

Changes was a a singular issue. Then, as they approached it for 3, 8, 5, which is the building to the north, the conversation turned to.

01:12:57.000 --> 01:13:12.000

We have a new architect, and we are going forward with our overall concept, which now is essentially all 4 buildings, and it was portrayed differently, so that, permit for a 3.

01:13:12.000 --> 01:13:29.000

A 5 is now part of the cumulative analysis will not be issued, and the high school applicants have been informed, and that's the current status of that. review.

01:13:29.000 --> 01:13:38.000

So they've been informed that but sure are they do they understand?

01:13:38.000 --> 01:13:51.000

If they the tenant improvement at 3 5 5 and the rest of the project doesn't become feasible that what they've done they've done at their own risk in 3 5 5 is that the understanding correct in

01:13:51.000 --> 01:13:57.000

January. That was the absolute understanding great. We could move forward with house, the building, and 3, 5, 5.

01:13:57.000 --> 01:14:08.000

You would have a footprint, but that does not guarantee in any way that your overall concept, whatever it may be or timing, would result in an approval.

01:14:08.000 --> 01:14:13.000

We would approach it at that time. Okay, now it quickly changed to 3, 8, 5.

01:14:13.000 --> 01:14:23.000

Now we need that. The The original discussion was, Yeah. in order for school programs in September of this year, 2,022 to take place.

01:14:23.000 --> 01:14:39.000

They needed occupancy of 3, 5, 5, by the end of summer; that each potential phase, as they considered it, and looked at recruitment or success or funding, they would get back to us,

01:14:39.000 --> 01:14:48.000

And that subsequently, in february march became we're ready to do all the phases our concept is being discussed differently.

01:14:48.000 --> 01:14:59.000

It's now a for building you know more of a sure thing, and that's when Staff clarified that the cumulative impacts need to look at need to be looked at.

01:14:59.000 --> 01:15:14.000

Now and then you had the public participation meeting, in which case a lot of the public comment was about site, circulation, traffic on the street noise for adjacent existing development.

01:15:14.000 --> 01:15:23.000

So now, and any such permitting or phases would involve site changes where three-five did not.

01:15:23.000 --> 01:15:39.000

So they're grouped together Now and should be looked at as a package, and we've indicated that as late as last week, and my assumption is that's what they're currently working on so could we then assume

01:15:39.000 --> 01:15:53.000

that you know the process for the conditional use permit you know It's lengthy, and it you know know, could it be done by September for September occupancy of even 3 5 5 the answer to that is as an

01:15:53.000 --> 01:16:01.000

unknown. Well, they could occupy 3 5 5 as soon as the their building permit is implemented, and that's not the whole building.

01:16:01.000 --> 01:16:07.000

It's up to them to decide what element of their program that fulfills.

01:16:07.000 --> 01:16:15.000

That is clearly just. the space in a portion of <unknown> does not then go into the northern building.

01:16:15.000 --> 01:16:19.000

The use permit would need to come in and look at the overall site.

01:16:19.000 --> 01:16:23.000

Concept and impacts mitigate those in order to move forward.

01:16:23.000 --> 01:16:31.000

But one of the potential decisions could have been, you know. Are there any issues in the long term?

01:16:31.000 --> 01:16:38.000

And the big vision that 3, 85, 3, a 5 can be peeled out and issued also.

01:16:38.000 --> 01:16:51.000

And it said to directors, thought that no it needs to be reviewed as part of the overall concept. especially now that they're vision or concept is clearly saying, all 4 buildings.

01:16:51.000 --> 01:17:01.000

Okay, thank you for that explanation. Excellent, very good.

01:17:01.000 --> 01:17:07.000

Are we ready to move on to art art documents? our worksheet updates.

01:17:07.000 --> 01:17:13.000

Sure thing I think we can let Mark go unless he'd love to hang with us for a while.

01:17:13.000 --> 01:17:23.000

I don't want to see that I do have other meetings otherwise i'd love to stay with you. but thank you for the offer to let me go.

01:17:23.000 --> 01:17:34.000

Thank you are the more that time thank you but by now, so i'm ready, which one would you guys like to tackle. First we have the commercial slash multi-family.

01:17:34.000 --> 01:17:44.000

And then we have the subdivision. Why don't we go with the commercial right?

01:17:44.000 --> 01:18:12.000

Can everybody see the screen So it doesn't look like a whole lot has changed in this area.

01:18:12.000 --> 01:18:21.000

Because basically this is just for you guys to review the context and the conceptual design and decide whether they've met you were needing.

01:18:21.000 --> 01:18:25.000

Well, let me. please. please don't mind if I miss it oh, go right ahead!

01:18:25.000 --> 01:18:34.000

Go right ahead. Yeah, I just want to be clear with everybody, and particularly I want to make sure that Bob understands. I think he does.

01:18:34.000 --> 01:18:39.000

But I think in the past we had some confusion, so I just want to explain the context.

01:18:39.000 --> 01:18:51.000

And now analysis here, make sure everybody knows what's going on the site design standards, all of them s one B. one L.

01:18:51.000 --> 01:18:55.000

One. All of those are the site standards, public realm. P. One.

01:18:55.000 --> 01:19:11.000

All that the context analysis is not standard. This was simply put in here to say, did they did The from the Drb point of view.

01:19:11.000 --> 01:19:24.000

Did the applicant analyze natural systems? Did they identify the wildlife, habitat

and corridors prominent features considered defining attributes of theable environment analyzed systems from movement access all that stuff 2

01:19:24.000 --> 01:19:40.000

100. it was more that we would look and say that they provided documentation of those things, and we would say yes, they did. and though they didn't because we had so many problems in the past, with people coming without the photographs

01:19:40.000 --> 01:19:48.000

drawings, Google Maps and things. All of those context questions are all built into the design site.

01:19:48.000 --> 01:19:54.000

Standards go all on this s one p one and l one so we don't need to when we do the problem.

01:19:54.000 --> 01:20:06.000

We don't need to in actually look at c one and this it or those things we just want to make sure that the documentation is there, so that they have rigorously looked at the site.

01:20:06.000 --> 01:20:14.000

That's all that is okay. all those concepts c one to C.

01:20:14.000 --> 01:20:18.000

6 are embedded in all of the design standards in various places.

01:20:18.000 --> 01:20:26.000

So just want to be clear about that, and make sure. so that should be an easy, easier. yes or no.

01:20:26.000 --> 01:20:33.000

Yes, they did provide what we needed or no they didn't and if they didn't, what what do we want to put on it?

01:20:33.000 --> 01:20:39.000

We want them to add a Google map or photographs up to 500 feet away, or whatever.

01:20:39.000 --> 01:20:46.000

So Okay, that's all I want to say there so what Joe. it's It's a prerequisite.

01:20:46.000 --> 01:20:55.000

We. We want this to be submitted, and We want to be reviewed, and we want it to be used to substantiate what they're doing in the Site design review.

01:20:55.000 --> 01:21:09.000

I mean an ideal world that contexts or stuff should set up the project for how the designer and the proponent see their work in relationship to what's already there.

01:21:09.000 --> 01:21:13.000

That's right. so yes, it it might not be dispassionate.

01:21:13.000 --> 01:21:16.000

It might not be objective, and then I would argue it shouldn't be.

01:21:16.000 --> 01:21:26.000

This is what we see these are you know these are things we're picking up on these are things you know we're not I mean in the future going forward.

01:21:26.000 --> 01:21:38.000

It would be great, and I probably shouldn't say this but going forward in the next iteration, or whatever not in the short distance, we should have documents that they can relate to like.

01:21:38.000 --> 01:21:52.000

Is there a traffic map of the whole island, and part of the context is clip out of your little piece and tell us, you know, in a narrative, how are you going to impact that there should be migratory bird maps

01:21:52.000 --> 01:21:56.000

of of the island who comes here? What do they need?

01:21:56.000 --> 01:21:59.000

And or migratory animal paths, all of that stuff.

01:21:59.000 --> 01:22:05.000

Even the You know the non-motorized transportation map that could be here.

01:22:05.000 --> 01:22:12.000

We want to see how this project messes with the natural and man-made systems of the island.

01:22:12.000 --> 01:22:19.000

You know, enforcing we're not there yeah but hopefully we will be absolutely yeah.

01:22:19.000 --> 01:22:35.000

The only other changes that I made to the rest of the document We're really word changes that went with some of the changes that Dave is going to talk about at some point or later with the design for Bainbridge manual when the

01:22:35.000 --> 01:22:44.000

word changes. I change them in here to reflect those those word changes I didn't change the I didn't change anything else.

01:22:44.000 --> 01:22:52.000

So when this document or didn't make any real changes other than that so

01:22:52.000 --> 01:23:00.000

So this one question, Joe and everybody. right. We have the discussion, and then we have the findings.

01:23:00.000 --> 01:23:05.000

Should we have the those flipped so that you know if things are met.

01:23:05.000 --> 01:23:16.000

There's probably not going to be a lot there's probably not going to be a lot of discussion about that unless it's superior, and we're calling that out that this is a really great example of this X and I

01:23:16.000 --> 01:23:27.000

imagine most of the discussion would be in what we didn't meet So it's It's not really it I think, for the presentation. It's okay, but when we're thinking about it it doesn't need to be linear I guess

01:23:27.000 --> 01:23:42.000

it's. One thing it doesn't need to be linear and one of one of the reasons we started this whole thing In the first place, the worksheet was to provide a written documentation back to the applicant feedback as well

01:23:42.000 --> 01:23:50.000

as more importantly, maybe, even what our discussion was about related to the planning commission.

01:23:50.000 --> 01:24:06.000

So to your point todd it might be could put the findings at the top of each discussion, so that when the when the anybody knows the planning commission, they get a agenda package it's a couple 100 pages at each meeting and they

01:24:06.000 --> 01:24:22.000

don't read everything you know they can't read and So if we have that to set up that way with those at the top of each section that might be more helpful for the planning, Commission Yeah, I could argue that and we could change

01:24:22.000 --> 01:24:26.000

those around if we wanted to. so that's a thought Yeah.

01:24:26.000 --> 01:24:36.000

Right? Yeah, because I agree. if really the thing is if if if we just think it met all of those P. one through P.

01:24:36.000 --> 01:24:43.000

3. Then we don't even need to discuss them really right I mean exactly. so.

01:24:43.000 --> 01:24:47.000

Is that what you guys like? Do you like it? looking this way?

01:24:47.000 --> 01:25:04.000

You know the opportunities. Anybody? Yeah. just one quick comment, Tom and Joe very minor.

01:25:04.000 --> 01:25:09.000

But suggests to set up that word. did not change it to does not meet.

01:25:09.000 --> 01:25:13.000

So that's more action-oriented just a minor detail.

01:25:13.000 --> 01:25:20.000

But yeah, I I like action and does mean does implies action.

01:25:20.000 --> 01:25:29.000

We can't put done there no good let's say no going in barracks, thank you. Banks.

01:25:29.000 --> 01:25:38.000

Yeah, I told you. Okay, so. So marlene maybe we could change all of those some.

01:25:38.000 --> 01:25:46.000

Yeah, Yeah, I'm taking notes so so far I have flipped the 2 sections in each section, and the change did to does not, did not whatever.

01:25:46.000 --> 01:25:53.000

So I'm taking notes. Okay, so that gets happened that's pretty much the same throughout.

01:25:53.000 --> 01:26:02.000

When we get down to some of these others that tend to could be not applicable like historic.

01:26:02.000 --> 01:26:05.000

And some of those we have. Another column that says not applicable because that happens a lot.

01:26:05.000 --> 01:26:18.000

I've noticed. Yes, in these areas here. so whoever did that did a great job about have happened, and then it's just the approval at the end.

01:26:18.000 --> 01:26:25.000

Okay, it's different. I think we were able to Todd Shan help.

01:26:25.000 --> 01:26:29.000

And some of the work I did we're able to take about 20 pages out.

01:26:29.000 --> 01:26:44.000

Yeah, it's much, much more concise. So thank you , So okay, you know, fix it up. I got Page breaks in here that and you don't like me.

01:26:44.000 --> 01:26:46.000

Okay, i'll worry about it later. Not while you guys are watching.

01:26:46.000 --> 01:26:50.000

So you want to. Are you guys ready to move on to the other If I make those 2

changes?

01:26:50.000 --> 01:26:58.000

Okay, let's go hop into the next one Then oops I'm just opening the wrong one here.

01:26:58.000 --> 01:27:13.000

Hold on 1 s subdivision. Okay, kind of extra page in there that we can work on.

01:27:13.000 --> 01:27:15.000

Let's let's go back, though if you would go yeah Wait right there.

01:27:15.000 --> 01:27:20.000

Okay, right there. So what one thing I did on this one which I felt was real important.

01:27:20.000 --> 01:27:28.000

It's a small thing. but again context analysis I put in the D for B Chapter 3 pages 1620. I mean.

01:27:28.000 --> 01:27:32.000

I I tried to reference every day. damn thing I could.

01:27:32.000 --> 01:27:47.000

So the people knew where it was in the book. That was the big change I made in this whole whole group itself, just saying because that on the other one, too, is it needed on the I think it is kind of needed not that I think about it

01:27:47.000 --> 01:27:50.000

Yeah. so I I could maybe look at that at some point.

01:27:50.000 --> 01:27:58.000

You know. would it be the same it'd be the same Okay, i'll go ahead and take an action to add that in as well.

01:27:58.000 --> 01:28:05.000

Yeah. Okay, thank you. I would add Whenever we use D 4 B in like that abbreviation and a document.

01:28:05.000 --> 01:28:10.000

Is there a place at the beginning of the document where we say, what D.

01:28:10.000 --> 01:28:21.000

Fourb stands for. Just so that it's clear for people who haven't worked with this document before that that is a really that is a really important point, because i'm surprised clarence hasn't jumped in He

01:28:21.000 --> 01:28:33.000

loves acronyms that or I could just Spell it out every I mean, we're not referencing it that often that I could just spell it out designed for Bainbridge instead of having I do think we should use

01:28:33.000 --> 01:28:38.000

the D. for B. only when we're talking not in in these documents.

01:28:38.000 --> 01:28:41.000

I mean there's some architect from seattle comes over he did he?

01:28:41.000 --> 01:28:47.000

Or she doesn't know Well, we'd be talking about you know I mean so Anna's got a good point.

01:28:47.000 --> 01:29:00.000

There also the pagination may be changing too, when David there's some it might not be 1620, so we should just wait a Tbd.

01:29:00.000 --> 01:29:09.000

On that. All this time I thought d 4 B was an new star.

01:29:09.000 --> 01:29:15.000

Wars android it will be. it will be Clarence.

01:29:15.000 --> 01:29:23.000

You can go to the moon on D. for b i'm just telling you right now, you know you might not get back.

01:29:23.000 --> 01:29:28.000

But you can go to the moon. Yeah, right you're not you're not the first person to tell me to go there.

01:29:28.000 --> 01:29:39.000

So. Oh, yeah, I guess we do have page numbers kind of rough reference throughout, And we need to be really careful on that.

01:29:39.000 --> 01:29:44.000

Because, yeah, we do actually don't we have so once we know the final final.

01:29:44.000 --> 01:29:49.000

We can make one more track through here, right Yeah. And you can use chapters, too.

01:29:49.000 --> 01:29:54.000

Chapters are descriptive enough. Yeah, that might be enough. Yeah.

01:29:54.000 --> 01:30:00.000

Okay, So i'll take another action just to kind of look at chapters versus pages.

01:30:00.000 --> 01:30:15.000

Ok, Okay, other than that, in this little cleanup are people gonna be? Are people likely to be referencing, though like a Pdf document?

01:30:15.000 --> 01:30:19.000

Or are people more likely to be looking at our website itself?

01:30:19.000 --> 01:30:25.000

Would it be worth putting in links to the the web page where those things are referenced?

01:30:25.000 --> 01:30:32.000

That would be awesome, but it might be a bit of a heavy lift right now.

01:30:32.000 --> 01:30:37.000

I think that I think you's something that we probably have to talk to framework about that.

01:30:37.000 --> 01:30:43.000

I think that would be the where we would want to be going right we'd want applicants to go to the website.

01:30:43.000 --> 01:30:55.000

There is one. And And remember, David, that was on the needs to be fixed because the link they hadn't actually inserted the link.

01:30:55.000 --> 01:30:59.000

There was a box that said, Go here, remember that we right?

01:30:59.000 --> 01:31:11.000

And you guys are correct that the intent for the website, the D for B special page is just that that it can be a living place for the applicants to go to to get information and to be reference.

01:31:11.000 --> 01:31:19.000

For the design of reward, too, i'll be right back I'm Sorry there there is that link in here somewhere, but it needed to be activated.

01:31:19.000 --> 01:31:29.000

Okay, Yeah, because I think if We're really wanting to direct people towards the website rather than a Pdf.

01:31:29.000 --> 01:31:38.000

I could see the argument for putting links to pages in the website rather than the page numbers. right?

01:31:38.000 --> 01:31:45.000

So you're suggesting like something here would be a link to the chapter or whatever of Okay?

01:31:45.000 --> 01:31:50.000

Yeah, and I recognize that's a a different kind of task than copying and pasting numbers.

01:31:50.000 --> 01:31:58.000

So it's not a right now thing. but yeah you don't want to slow it down right now.

01:31:58.000 --> 01:32:05.000

But I have noted that for my I just met with framework this morning, but I don't know.

01:32:05.000 --> 01:32:13.000

Framework is the consulting firm that did design for Bambridge for the city, and part of that is carrying on a long-term web page.

01:32:13.000 --> 01:32:19.000

Just for this document. So thank you. This is this is good input for my next chat with them this week.

01:32:19.000 --> 01:32:27.000

Great thanks. So, David, aren't you part of your retirement didn't you sign a thing the steps you had a 3 year warranty on this stuff.

01:32:27.000 --> 01:32:34.000

You. Yeah, I was gonna suggest, Really, you guys could probably prevent me from leaving until we have design for damage exactly as we want it. And the website.

01:32:34.000 --> 01:32:41.000

But it like I said I had a good meeting with with the framework this morning, so at least for good transition planning.

01:32:41.000 --> 01:32:47.000

That's great. Did you get the hyperlink in the code, David, with the framework or whomever.

01:32:47.000 --> 01:32:58.000

Let's see they're sending me i'll have to check that. I just met with them, and they're sending me the latest stuff shortly. so i'll know pretty soon, Bob, thanks Yeah, cause that was really it kind of

01:32:58.000 --> 01:33:09.000

goes along with what Ana said I mean that was just kind of a Rela level, but having that in the municipal vote, the link to the design for bayers was just a yeah, They're sending.

01:33:09.000 --> 01:33:15.000

Me the room taking them, and it takes it, you know. Once step further, which exactly.

01:33:15.000 --> 01:33:31.000

Okay, put other parts. you guys want to look at Yeah. they're pretty much just response and discussion.

01:33:31.000 --> 01:33:38.000

Through every section and then and just to make known. You know the reason.

01:33:38.000 --> 01:33:42.000

I didn't have to get me yes, or no all the way through it.

01:33:42.000 --> 01:33:47.000

It was because i don't have that interest because in the subdivision. Then these don't need not guidelines.

01:33:47.000 --> 01:33:51.000

They're they're not standards the Guidelines so I did not put in.

01:33:51.000 --> 01:33:58.000

You know, Matt, one or 2 or 3 or did not meet so that's why that is in there.

01:33:58.000 --> 01:34:04.000

So like talk about that. a little bit that's going to be on that

01:34:04.000 --> 01:34:14.000

We'll have to see David legal did legal say we could change the word intent to standard.

01:34:14.000 --> 01:34:19.000

So this is actually this is a good preview for what I was going to mention at the end.

01:34:19.000 --> 01:34:31.000

But i'll mention it now. so unfortunately we haven't been able to meet to go through them with legal We have the list for them, but because they've been so tied up with projects going to council including one tomorrow, night that hasn't

01:34:31.000 --> 01:34:37.000

happened yet. So the all we have so far, Vicki is the new version with the 2020.

01:34:37.000 --> 01:34:41.000

One day and the updated footers the real basics I talked about.

01:34:41.000 --> 01:34:47.000

We haven't had the meaning of legal and I apologize for that, because i'd hoped when I talked to you last time we would have met by now.

01:34:47.000 --> 01:35:02.000

And so Joe Joe is right when by the current book until legal says, Yes, intent means standard, and then that word guideline gets changed to standard.

01:35:02.000 --> 01:35:05.000

It is technically a guideline. I mean right.

01:35:05.000 --> 01:35:10.000

Fortunately, and i'll add that in my discussion with framework this morning.

01:35:10.000 --> 01:35:14.000

Part of their tensor planning is when i'm gone they also have the list.

01:35:14.000 --> 01:35:17.000

So interrupt updating their contracts, they can stay on with the city.

01:35:17.000 --> 01:35:34.000

So that list is also going to be with them so in case when I transition out. Joe will have it, but it also framework will have it, too, to make sure we we can continue the things we've been working on which Joe

01:35:34.000 --> 01:35:38.000

excuse me. Joe is a city, the city attorney.

01:35:38.000 --> 01:35:46.000

Well, well, let me let me interject something.

01:35:46.000 --> 01:35:59.000

I think. Personally, I think it's quite strange to have a consultant connecting with the city attorney that way versus a staff member.

01:35:59.000 --> 01:36:03.000

No that wasn't my intent vicki Okay, the intent was the consultant will also have the list.

01:36:03.000 --> 01:36:16.000

So when their contract is complete, they'll be the ones that We're contracting to keep the website up to date. I just want to make sure they have all the information I probably complicated things by So Joe has Joe has the list of legal

01:36:16.000 --> 01:36:21.000

questions from past the list that you, you and I made with Bob.

01:36:21.000 --> 01:36:27.000

Yes, and and somebody at the city is going to have both lists correct? Absolutely correct.

01:36:27.000 --> 01:36:33.000

Okay, and I have a list. I have a list list of lists.

01:36:33.000 --> 01:36:36.000

Okay, and by the way, it's good i'm bit chatting with you about this.

01:36:36.000 --> 01:36:40.000

Now, because I do have to jump off for an interview at 4 P. M. for our city.

01:36:40.000 --> 01:36:44.000

Our wrist. we're down to the finalist so i'm Glad we're able to talk about this before my update comes.

01:36:44.000 --> 01:36:49.000

If I Don't get to it later. so i'll i'll step aside for a minute here, but I just wanted to share that info.

01:36:49.000 --> 01:36:56.000

Thanks. Okay, did we want to move dip it forward real quick, and just have them give what we got left, David?

01:36:56.000 --> 01:37:06.000

Well, I think we've covered most of it and i'm sorry we're i'm interrupting the flow here, but we we've covered what's coming up in april which is we're looking like public

01:37:06.000 --> 01:37:15.000

works likely highlight and likely housing risk of Bambridge would be before you in the second meeting in April. I'm.

01:37:15.000 --> 01:37:23.000

Not sure how many projects The first meeting then what i've just shared with you was in case I didn't get a chance to talk at 4 P.

01:37:23.000 --> 01:37:34.000

M. that we do have the I promised you last time at least. we'll get an updated designed for Bambridge document that has the 2,021 date and the consistent footers the framework sending that to

01:37:34.000 --> 01:37:47.000

me today, but everything else I just told you was the latest information that legal has the list of the items that are potentially substantive. Then we need to go back to Council for review. We'll wait and see what they say and

01:37:47.000 --> 01:37:54.000

then for continent, for consistency framework, consulting who is the firm that prepared the document, and at this city is retaining to run.

01:37:54.000 --> 01:38:00.000

The website also have the list of general changes just to make sure it's it's in their hands.

01:38:00.000 --> 01:38:04.000

As well. That is the update I was going to mention.

01:38:04.000 --> 01:38:10.000

Hope you get clarity frameworks obviously it's going to correct all of the misspellings that were in it right.

01:38:10.000 --> 01:38:19.000

They're gonna delete references to the admin manual right, and they're gonna like delete the acknowledgement page.

01:38:19.000 --> 01:38:30.000

They're going to get the pagination right if you're going to do things like word search for city and be sure cities capitalize.

01:38:30.000 --> 01:38:34.000

They're gonna do all of those things you and I talked about That's right.

01:38:34.000 --> 01:38:37.000

That's it, and that's the list I was referring to thinking, and I hate to confuse everybody else.

01:38:37.000 --> 01:38:44.000

Vicki and i've had a meeting as have bob and I with very specific lists of information that we're sharing with the consultant.

01:38:44.000 --> 01:38:47.000

So that's what vicky's referring to you're, crack, Vicki!

01:38:47.000 --> 01:38:54.000

Great perfect description, Okay, perfect. And then maybe we can look at.

01:38:54.000 --> 01:39:02.000

Look at what frameworks produces tomorrow absolutely i'll check with him on the timing, for when they can.

01:39:02.000 --> 01:39:10.000

Maybe they can meet with you on the Fourth actually if you still have the meeting which I think you're going to that day from your earlier discussion.

01:39:10.000 --> 01:39:18.000

So could you ask if we would prefer I think to see that cleaned up absolutely for the fourth?

01:39:18.000 --> 01:39:22.000

We'll do to be productive on the fourth if they're going to be on the agenda.

01:39:22.000 --> 01:39:34.000

We'll do that would be I think most expedient and i'm kind of waiting on giving you guys your electronic finders and your paper binders, because I don't I mean I don't have a completed

01:39:34.000 --> 01:39:39.000

document yet. so it was kind of felt like it was a little bit of a waste of time. Yeah, so I apologize.

01:39:39.000 --> 01:39:42.000

That's what's holding me up as well so and and for the record.

01:39:42.000 --> 01:39:49.000

The official version, As I mentioned the last couple Meetings the version that was

approved by the Council in December.

01:39:49.000 --> 01:40:05.000

That's that that is, the official version and it's got the substantive outdates it's the the all these corrections and other things that Vicki mentioned that will be the next cleanup version so David should

01:40:05.000 --> 01:40:18.000

should this not transpire before you depart it? Well, a you should leave Exactly. but be who who's the who's the interface with us, and framework?

01:40:18.000 --> 01:40:25.000

So that goes back to your discussion with mark early we're waiting for that decision from the city manager on the interim planning manager.

01:40:25.000 --> 01:40:31.000

So I don't know the answer now but I hope to by the end of this week, and that's why I also want to emphasize I'm.

01:40:31.000 --> 01:40:35.000

Getting as much information to framework as I can, so the continuity is there.

01:40:35.000 --> 01:40:43.000

With them as well. Okay, tonight and inject something. Here, Bob, I apologize, Bob.

01:40:43.000 --> 01:40:49.000

I know you have your hand up, but I just wanted to ask one other question for Dave before he goes.

01:40:49.000 --> 01:40:57.000

If I could. It's Okay, Under the pre-app conference attendees.

01:40:57.000 --> 01:41:01.000

Yes, I see that on on your list here. huh yeah I guess I'm an old man.

01:41:01.000 --> 01:41:08.000

I'm 70 years old, or whatever but I keep getting from PCD.

01:41:08.000 --> 01:41:15.000

I keep getting notices of these applications pre-application meetings.

01:41:15.000 --> 01:41:19.000

Okay, and they come to, and they come from pCD and They're signed by Susie.

01:41:19.000 --> 01:41:23.000

I don't know Suzy, but you know he's a permit Specialist Suzy Probate.

01:41:23.000 --> 01:41:27.000

So i've written her a couple times she hasn't written back.

01:41:27.000 --> 01:41:37.000

Okay. but when I get those when they get those applications it says, please indicate whether you're going to attend the new Brooklyn Gardens.

01:41:37.000 --> 01:41:59.000

Pre-app meeting. Nowhere does it say what date what time anything? All it says is join meeting, Okay, and and I can't find where it is Now I suppose that if I tried to join the meeting it would go to It would go to So

01:41:59.000 --> 01:42:06.000

like, Yeah, like. So look at this thing right nowhere on there does it say with the time of the meeting, what the date?

01:42:06.000 --> 01:42:12.000

Anything right. I did not use outlook I don't know what you see on your end.

01:42:12.000 --> 01:42:16.000

But it says March, the thirtieth 9 to 10 am , doesn't.

01:42:16.000 --> 01:42:23.000

We got online well, and does it show you this little calendar where you can see that it's eat from between 9 and 10 A. M.

01:42:23.000 --> 01:42:28.000

It does not. Okay, I don't see any of that Okay, that's good to know.

01:42:28.000 --> 01:42:37.000

I don't see any that and so all I can do is join the meeting, and then I go to hell in return, because nobody's, you know, picking up, you know, for the meeting.

01:42:37.000 --> 01:42:43.000

Right, so I thought maybe it was going into outlook and putting a meeting into outlook.

01:42:43.000 --> 01:42:49.000

But I don't use that look okay, unfortunately okay, that might explain it.

01:42:49.000 --> 01:42:53.000

So there's something going on there. I do not get those blue lines at all.

01:42:53.000 --> 01:42:58.000

I I sent this one to Marlene, so she could do exactly what she just did not.

01:42:58.000 --> 01:43:03.000

She's a mind raider, so does this happens to you Vicki.

01:43:03.000 --> 01:43:14.000

Well, but you see It's interesting because like like It's being sent to Sarah Blossom and Ashley.

01:43:14.000 --> 01:43:30.000

That's chair, and whatever they planning and then So the the first thing here is, we need to decide. is it's taught in Vicki as co-chairs should Joe be on here?

01:43:30.000 --> 01:43:36.000

Yeah, No, right, I agree. So maybe Joe Shouldn't be on there that's correct.

01:43:36.000 --> 01:43:46.000

Thank you for that correction. but but then I discovered this Marlene when I was looking to send you this.

01:43:46.000 --> 01:43:54.000

There was one that I know that I pulled out of my email and put in a special folder to talk to.

01:43:54.000 --> 01:43:56.000

You guys, and it was called in at Pleasant Beach Village.

01:43:56.000 --> 01:44:02.000

Well, when I went there today, and it's on the twelfth of April.

01:44:02.000 --> 01:44:22.000

It's it's gone so it's like i'm having tech issues. and so it's like. So there were 2 issues here is how to keep how to corral this somehow or other Then I was thinking probably the easiest

01:44:22.000 --> 01:44:30.000

way to decide who, from the Drb. would like to go to these we can have up to 2 people and we're just observers.

01:44:30.000 --> 01:44:48.000

That's all 200 that these seem to come out and in advance far enough that each, each on each of our meeting agendas we could say, Well, I want to go to that one, and then and then that person, would have to r svp and then

01:44:48.000 --> 01:44:52.000

they get the Then they'll get included in the team meeting.

01:44:52.000 --> 01:44:59.000

So I was trying to figure out how to do this versus trying to write emails or something or other.

01:44:59.000 --> 01:45:06.000

But they also, as Joe said, they're kind of hard to corral because they get canceled.

01:45:06.000 --> 01:45:14.000

They get moved around, or in the instance of in a pleasant beach, it just plane disappeared, and there didn't seem to be a cancellation notice.

01:45:14.000 --> 01:45:20.000

I I thought, Well, have I misplaced it? yeah It looks appear to appear to be still on the calendar.

01:45:20.000 --> 01:45:26.000

I'm showing you right now on the on the screen so it is it like it doesn't appear that it's been canceled.

01:45:26.000 --> 01:45:32.000

So it is there, and it is for the twelfth right that 1112 at 11 right tonight.

01:45:32.000 --> 01:45:42.000

Can I also make another complaint. i'm sorry to be so picky here. But i'm getting the PCD emails to my home address.

01:45:42.000 --> 01:45:48.000

Yeah, see, they've got it right here again, this one came to your home address, did the other?

01:45:48.000 --> 01:45:53.000

Yeah, right. And you know, that ought not to be happening right.

01:45:53.000 --> 01:46:08.000

And Joe. We're Right we need to delete you from this, because it should be the chair and Co-chair on that side at the meeting, and Vicki just to Sarah mentioned to me that's how She'd like to do it for the planning commission as well take it, to

01:46:08.000 --> 01:46:10.000

the meeting. ask who wants to attend? And then , yeah, Yeah.

01:46:10.000 --> 01:46:19.000

My only question with that is, Is there enough time, you know, if we only see that question. 2 meetings a month, and one comes out that following week.

01:46:19.000 --> 01:46:24.000

How do we? How do we make sure we can communicate with everyone?

01:46:24.000 --> 01:46:37.000

What I was thinking. Todd is today it would be great if everybody would share their phone numbers, and if one of them came up you that it wasn't going to be possible to discuss at a meeting.

01:46:37.000 --> 01:46:44.000

You know we I could text somebody and in case because Dr. B.

01:46:44.000 --> 01:46:50.000

Members. I don't think all of us look at Kobe email every single day.

01:46:50.000 --> 01:46:56.000

So using the Kobe email means that if it's something that's coming on a short

timeline.

01:46:56.000 --> 01:47:04.000

It might not work. So this is part of you guys. Okay, this is part of your binder that i'm supposed to be pulling together.

01:47:04.000 --> 01:47:11.000

Oh, we don't publish this on the web I mean all your emails are on the web and your name and your position, and when it expires.

01:47:11.000 --> 01:47:14.000

But your phone numbers. Obviously, we don't want to give those out to the public.

01:47:14.000 --> 01:47:17.000

So we keep a roster. This I can send it to you guys.

01:47:17.000 --> 01:47:22.000

It will be in the binder, all those all cell numbers.

01:47:22.000 --> 01:47:28.000

So we could take I mean folks will have to tell me if the excel numbers are not.

01:47:28.000 --> 01:47:39.000

But because the hope would be todd that we can deal with this twice a month, right? say if we have a meeting that's canceled.

01:47:39.000 --> 01:47:51.000

Then being able to text, somebody would be a good idea so I don't know if everybody can confirm by looking at the screen, whether that's your cell number, and you can receive a text using that number it's myself, Okay,

01:47:51.000 --> 01:47:57.000

, myself. Yeah, Bob, Set your cell as well. Yes, it is okay.

01:47:57.000 --> 01:48:02.000

Who else do we have sean's not here todd actually, that goes to my ap wire.

01:48:02.000 --> 01:48:07.000

I could give you my cell lover. no that's Okay, and then, Michael's not here to confirm.

01:48:07.000 --> 01:48:14.000

But i'm just gonna make an assumption? Well, then todd Do you want to mention Sean?

01:48:14.000 --> 01:48:17.000

Yes, please, I didn't know what was going on okay? So are we done with David?

01:48:17.000 --> 01:48:21.000

Because he does have a meeting in 9 min and he probably wants to use the okay.

01:48:21.000 --> 01:48:32.000

I, and before you jump off, David congrats on your retirement. you've gotten a lot of needling and poking and detailed questions, but also celebrations.

01:48:32.000 --> 01:48:40.000

Yeah, thank you. i've had a lot of fun. with this group. i'm gonna stick around for a few more minutes, and and I just I was gonna explain to you part of the whole process.

01:48:40.000 --> 01:48:47.000

We went through with your hardworking subcommittee over the last year or 2 was updating both the design man and the code, but also the process.

01:48:47.000 --> 01:48:52.000

So this is one of those finer details We want to make sure, at least to attendees from the designer.

01:48:52.000 --> 01:48:58.000

Reward and planning commission are invited to pre-op So that's what this detail is about that we're sort of working through now just for background.

01:48:58.000 --> 01:49:02.000

Great. Thank you. Yeah, right. And, Bob, do you have something even?

01:49:02.000 --> 01:49:06.000

Yeah, I wanted to catch David before he left I was back on the Dr.

01:49:06.000 --> 01:49:13.000

The design for Bambridge still kind of an important thing made me you've explained it, and it just got buried.

01:49:13.000 --> 01:49:26.000

But you i'm assuming this the planner explain that the date, the design for Bamber is what current applicants use right, even though it's not online yet.

01:49:26.000 --> 01:49:33.000

Yes, yes, we and and and the planners are filling in the gap, so they know how to see it.

01:49:33.000 --> 01:49:40.000

Yes, and the great news. and we're really enjoying this we're not having the pre-application meeting first. as opposed to the order.

01:49:40.000 --> 01:49:46.000

We just went away from. so that's where we get to have that explanation. and and so we're just settling into that new process, of course.

01:49:46.000 --> 01:49:50.000

But that's that's the time for the planner to share that with the applicant.

01:49:50.000 --> 01:49:56.000

Okay, that was kind of important. We were talking stuff in the weeds, and I think it was what's the applicant.

01:49:56.000 --> 01:50:03.000

All right. I will say goodbye everybody and i'll log off in a couple minutes.

01:50:03.000 --> 01:50:07.000

But it's been really fun working with you guys Well, thanks David.

01:50:07.000 --> 01:50:27.000

All right. David cheers everybody, Thank you dude poof he's gone goofy's gone so the update on sean that he He was. He walked he's taking a brace.

01:50:27.000 --> 01:50:36.000

He has a residency coming up. so he wouldn't be able to basically serve out April and may summer, March, April, and May.

01:50:36.000 --> 01:50:48.000

So I guess March happened earlier. So he has essentially stepped away from the Design review board, so that's another position that needs to be filled.

01:50:48.000 --> 01:51:00.000

And so I guess with that I haven't heard anything from Ross about setting up interviews, and I think we also have to reapply for our current posts.

01:51:00.000 --> 01:51:12.000

If you post is up in June. Yes, and then also we should be thinking about some recruiting concepts, or where we make pull.

01:51:12.000 --> 01:51:34.000

Some other people onto the board. Think I think raj told me that they're going to advertise in April, and then to do interviews in May, and for sure to have the all 3 positions full I guess they

01:51:34.000 --> 01:51:46.000

become affected July first. So okay, yeah. So when they advertisement comes out, I think they attach a link to an application.

01:51:46.000 --> 01:51:51.000

So Todd and Michael can grab that up reapply.

01:51:51.000 --> 01:51:56.000

And then Yes, we need to recruit Frisons position.

01:51:56.000 --> 01:52:10.000

So where are those advertisements to typically posted They're I think they put them in the city manager newsletter, and I see them in the Bainbridge Review?

01:52:10.000 --> 01:52:16.000

Where else do they put them joke that's all we were aware of?

01:52:16.000 --> 01:52:25.000

I've talked to Kristin drew before she's. the communications to try to get it as widely pushed out there as we can.

01:52:25.000 --> 01:52:32.000

Do we want to suggest they advertise it?

01:52:32.000 --> 01:52:46.000

I mean there's there's certainly a lot of architects to travel from here into the city and are part of the Seattle Ai, and they have a job posting website that we can put there for a volunteer position who

01:52:46.000 --> 01:52:52.000

would we need to, as long as they know that one of the requirements is, you have to live on the island?

01:52:52.000 --> 01:52:55.000

Yeah, No, it's as long as They know that that's fine. Yeah.

01:52:55.000 --> 01:53:03.000

Yeah, we want to emphasize we're lots of fun, and there's absolutely no work to be done.

01:53:03.000 --> 01:53:18.000

Yes, Do you know marlene who we should ask that question of Yeah, I'm: just looking at of course this is the employment site, and that you guys are employees technically. you're not getting paid so I don't think this is

01:53:18.000 --> 01:53:31.000

the right spot. i'm not quite sure where those are I think it's, I think, Roz and and Kristin Drew, There are the 2 people that work together on that excellent and Clarence says his hand up their

01:53:31.000 --> 01:53:53.000

patiently mute on so long I couldn't get the and there's gonna be we're gonna suggest an update on replacement or continuation rather of members on committee just like yours and planning commission and others that if you are a seated

01:53:53.000 --> 01:54:04.000

member you can wish to reapply if you term you haven't finished, you know, 2 or 3 year term limit. so you don't have to go through a reapplication process that you simply just say i'd like to be

01:54:04.000 --> 01:54:09.000

reappointed and you know there's no problems We don't find anything crimin on your background.

01:54:09.000 --> 01:54:14.000

You can stay on great. Do you think that will happen in this round?

01:54:14.000 --> 01:54:21.000

Or is that something? we look forward to not well I believe we're going to put it on the agenda tomorrow.

01:54:21.000 --> 01:54:30.000

Oh, great, Yeah, and just to your point clarence i've been on for 5 years, and in the past.

01:54:30.000 --> 01:54:34.000

That's how it always was. it is just the last Council that changed it.

01:54:34.000 --> 01:54:39.000

To have a little more hands-on. I think it was better before.

01:54:39.000 --> 01:54:47.000

Yeah like'm not gonna make a comment on the previous council. But I agree with you that it is a better way to Yeah.

01:54:47.000 --> 01:54:57.000

Okay. so, Marlene, if you could go back to that email that had them pre-up thing.

01:54:57.000 --> 01:55:02.000

Okay, So I on my list. I have 3 Pre apps. this.

01:55:02.000 --> 01:55:07.000

This is one of them, this new Brooklyn Gardens.

01:55:07.000 --> 01:55:14.000

I should have asked this question. Well, David, was here so there's that one.

01:55:14.000 --> 01:55:25.000

There's the in a pleasant beach which is 412, and then there's one called Corcoran Stroud on 4, 5, and 11 o'clock.

01:55:25.000 --> 01:55:29.000

So like, I said. We can have up to 2 members.

01:55:29.000 --> 01:55:35.000

Can you I can't read the screen here too Well, What's the up?

01:55:35.000 --> 01:55:40.000

The traffic. New Brooklyn Gardens is march.

01:55:40.000 --> 01:55:55.000

The thirtieth, and it's from 9 to 10; Corcoran sprout Stroud is at April the fifth at 11, and in a pleasant beach is April the twelfth, 11,

01:55:55.000 --> 01:56:02.000

they're very they're very organized these meetings are and the one that I went to.

01:56:02.000 --> 01:56:07.000

I mean it was meant to be a one-hour meeting so it's not like It's gonna go on forever.

01:56:07.000 --> 01:56:14.000

Well, if we want to take time right now, I can I can do the Brooklyn Gardens on the thirtieth.

01:56:14.000 --> 01:56:19.000

Okay, So that's Joe. that's. at 9 o'clock I can do that at 9 o'clock.

01:56:19.000 --> 01:56:28.000

Okay, Okay, And then, Bob Root, you signed up wants to do Corcoran Stroud, and that's the one they kept moving around.

01:56:28.000 --> 01:56:33.000

Well, I just sit at these meetings I don't ask questions for me.

01:56:33.000 --> 01:56:38.000

It's a learning experience, I'd like to just sit in on the new Brooklyn gardens again.

01:56:38.000 --> 01:56:42.000

I I quite in person. I for me, is strictly education.

01:56:42.000 --> 01:56:55.000

Learning to be careful of is we can't have more than 2 So that's you know, that's fine Well, if you're somebody else's going to be there that's fine yeah I mean i'd like to have the argument

01:56:55.000 --> 01:57:05.000

to just sit in on him. I find him in very informative, very good place to learn things, and also the Internet up to the village.

01:57:05.000 --> 01:57:10.000

So take that one. So, Vicki, do you? Do you or Todd?

01:57:10.000 --> 01:57:15.000

Then send this application out to pray application back to us so we can join right?

01:57:15.000 --> 01:57:23.000

So what i'm going. to try to do is i'm going to try to forward these to you and Then Marlene, can you show like the right hand.

01:57:23.000 --> 01:57:29.000

Let's see the right right hand side of This is the there is an Rsvp.

01:57:29.000 --> 01:57:37.000

Column. So i'm wondering if I go in an Rsvp for Joe and Bob.

01:57:37.000 --> 01:57:41.000

Yeah, I don't know i've never seen an rsvp.

01:57:41.000 --> 01:57:46.000

So maybe it's because i'm in word I don't know. I mean this is going to be this is going to be a next word.

01:57:46.000 --> 01:57:48.000

Okay, Microsoft Outlook is what I meant to say.

01:57:48.000 --> 01:57:52.000

You have to do the rsvp otherwise you're not recognized.

01:57:52.000 --> 01:58:00.000

You're not recognized on the team thing so i'm going to find these and forward them.

01:58:00.000 --> 01:58:08.000

So, Marlene, how are you finding all I have access to the PCD Calendar, where these all lay that?

01:58:08.000 --> 01:58:15.000

Yeah, So that's. why, it's like searching through while you were talking to see if I could find the meetings you were referring to right?

01:58:15.000 --> 01:58:26.000

Okay, so I know nothing about cork and stroud, for some reason it It sounds like a short plaque, but i'm just guessing.

01:58:26.000 --> 01:58:40.000

So is there somebody who'd like to just sit in on that it's the fifth of april 11, and then there's in it Pleasant beach, which is the twelfth from 11 to 12 I mean vicki you can

01:58:40.000 --> 01:58:45.000

put me down for all 3 of them I mean big deal.

01:58:45.000 --> 01:58:56.000

I just listened i'd like to see a pleasant beach, because i'm familiar with that. But yeah, unfortunately, although it's not a big deal which one do you want to do todd they all conflict.

01:58:56.000 --> 01:59:00.000

With my standing, and Clarence is you have stand up again.

01:59:00.000 --> 01:59:16.000

Yeah, I just wanna I was right it's item 9 or 9 point e on tomorrow's agenda a moment to consider exactly what we talked about, but we have to move it to a future council. We need to adopt it because it

01:59:16.000 --> 01:59:21.000

wasn't going to happen soon within the next month or so I would hope.

01:59:21.000 --> 01:59:30.000

But great. Okay, I mean, I can. I can do in a pleasant beach or cork and straw.

01:59:30.000 --> 01:59:35.000

I can do both, or whatever just so long as we have we don't have to have somebody there.

01:59:35.000 --> 01:59:52.000

It's not a crisis if somebody can't attend because they're supposed to produce the planner the assigned planner produces meeting minute notes in they're supposed to Do it within 10 days and those are are to

01:59:52.000 --> 02:00:00.000

go into the file, and so we can all see exactly what was said and what the require, what requirements.

02:00:00.000 --> 02:00:11.000

You know you have to do a conditional use permit you know if you're a multi-family, or whatever you know, all those things get defined at that first meeting 200, which was the goal of the whole thing.

02:00:11.000 --> 02:00:17.000

So you know just actually, what is this thing, and what is the work required to accomplish this project?

02:00:17.000 --> 02:00:26.000

So, Anna, can you? Are you interested in doing one of these?

02:00:26.000 --> 02:00:35.000

Could we lose Anna still here? you disappeared Yeah, what time of day?

02:00:35.000 --> 02:00:39.000

I'm sorry I missed what time of day do these tend to be well.

02:00:39.000 --> 02:00:46.000

They're during the day. so corcoran strav the fifth is at 11, and a pleasant beach happens to be at 11 also.

02:00:46.000 --> 02:00:53.000

Okay, if I were in the evenings, that would work a lot better for me.

02:00:53.000 --> 02:01:04.000

That's Okay, We understand. Thanks. Okay, Well, it looks like we've got those 3, and i'm going to try to figure out how to forward the invitations.

02:01:04.000 --> 02:01:14.000

I will take that on. Okay, all right. What else was on that?

02:01:14.000 --> 02:01:19.000

Oh, I know Board member concerns. Was that the last thing we were supposed to do?

02:01:19.000 --> 02:01:26.000

Yeah, let me pull it up again here, all right. Can you see it?

02:01:26.000 --> 02:01:34.000

So yeah, I think we got through everything except for any issues or concerns.

02:01:34.000 --> 02:01:40.000

And I have a list for me. Okay, Yeah, No, go right ahead. Okay.

02:01:40.000 --> 02:01:48.000

Number one. No, I sent an email a couple of weeks ago, and I know you guys are probably getting emails, so I know that's the only way I can communicate.

02:01:48.000 --> 02:01:52.000

But I think people are not getting their emails they're not getting the agenda.

02:01:52.000 --> 02:01:58.000

They're not getting their links and I and I sent out instructions, and I don't have us to get it to you guys if I can't get it through.

02:01:58.000 --> 02:02:09.000

Email But there's a focused inbox and there's an inbox, and what i'm hearing from some folks is that your males going into the focus inbox I don't have I used to have that but

02:02:09.000 --> 02:02:20.000

I have that anymore. Okay? Well, I don't either. because I turned mine off and didn't sometimes Marlene. there's the inbox that maybe, or maybe is not focused.

02:02:20.000 --> 02:02:26.000

But you go further down, and there is like another category.

02:02:26.000 --> 02:02:37.000

And there is a design review board email box like your agenda this time for the first time ever showed up in that box.

02:02:37.000 --> 02:02:50.000

So I I don't know if it's how We're being addressed like the email that came from Christine Brown with the with the legal recruits.

02:02:50.000 --> 02:02:57.000

The requests for documents that went into that lower box and if I hadn't happened to look at that box.

02:02:57.000 --> 02:03:14.000

I wouldn't have known about it remember when we were talking to the it, Guy, he said, that we could go into the settings and eliminate that focused thing right, but he said to me there doesn't appear to be a way to

02:03:14.000 --> 02:03:18.000

not end up with 2 inboxes right which means and again.

02:03:18.000 --> 02:03:26.000

Technically. they they're only allowed to go so far like if you're using office com to get to your email and there's issues with that he can help.

02:03:26.000 --> 02:03:31.000

But if it's just that you can't find I mean or yeah, they're limited on how they can help us.

02:03:31.000 --> 02:03:43.000

Because everybody set up is so different they would spend a whole lot of time, you know, trying to figure out what where how and why. So it's just going to have to be I don't know how we have to look at both boxes.

02:03:43.000 --> 02:03:55.000

Yeah, I mean if that's the we need to do that because first of all, I'm sending out emails and getting no response, I have to hear from every member.

02:03:55.000 --> 02:04:02.000

Yes or no, if you're going. to attend okay, I have to have a no or yes, even though Marlene, you know i'll be there.

02:04:02.000 --> 02:04:10.000

No I want to hear yes i'm gonna be there because I spent this morning scrambling, trying to make sure we had a quorum right didn't know sean wasn't on board anymore.

02:04:10.000 --> 02:04:16.000

So that's nobody communicate that to me so I bugged him for no reason but

02:04:16.000 --> 02:04:21.000

I just I we really, if we need to do a separate meeting, just technical, so we can see what everybody else is, seeing.

02:04:21.000 --> 02:04:30.000

I'm willing to do that because this is becoming bigger problem and our workload is getting heavier and I just the time is less. so.

02:04:30.000 --> 02:04:34.000

What do you guys suggest to try to tackle this because I don't think we're going to have it support?

02:04:34.000 --> 02:04:39.000

If it's not a microsoft office com issue can you like I think. Go ahead.

02:04:39.000 --> 02:04:54.000

I'm sorry vicki that's what it was I didn't. I've never set up groups on this outlook, so somehow it turned my focus mailbox into the design review board i've never i've never

02:04:54.000 --> 02:04:57.000

done it either. It just happened todd we you didn't do it.

02:04:57.000 --> 02:05:00.000

I didn't do it. It just appeared yeah well That's Microsoft.

02:05:00.000 --> 02:05:06.000

Does that all the time it's an update it's an improvement in service.

02:05:06.000 --> 02:05:14.000

They just don't explain how wonderful then how transformative this will be to your organizational and life runs.

02:05:14.000 --> 02:05:21.000

They just tell you how they're going to change your life but that that seems to be the missing link the key.

02:05:21.000 --> 02:05:24.000

Okay, you just sell it it. We got Todd and Vicki.

02:05:24.000 --> 02:05:39.000

We think bob's been doing okay getting things I believe but the thing is, Marlene. Yeah, we couldn't maybe we have a zoom problem, too, because didn't get the zoom link from you even when you tried to resend it it didn't go

02:05:39.000 --> 02:05:45.000

to either box, and I never got a reminder so is that a zoom thing.

02:05:45.000 --> 02:05:59.000

I don't know because zoom automatically sends one day before one day, 1 h before, and I know people are getting those because they've told me, but not everybody I didn't get it Yeah, I never get the 1 1 h

02:05:59.000 --> 02:06:06.000

before messages from zoom you don't get those you say only I only get only get the hour, not the one day in full additional.

02:06:06.000 --> 02:06:14.000

Oh, Vicki must have gotten it marlene because she was Bob, but she's Vicky now.

02:06:14.000 --> 02:06:18.000

I changed her name. Oh, I went in behind a renamed turn.

02:06:18.000 --> 02:06:21.000

I just got into the meeting Because, Bob, you sent me your link.

02:06:21.000 --> 02:06:26.000

Yeah and again, those are unique to each person that's Why, we have 2 bobs.

02:06:26.000 --> 02:06:31.000

I I get it on my apple phone. I don't get the zoom on my outlook.

02:06:31.000 --> 02:06:35.000

But i'll go in and look i'm not gonna I'm retired.

02:06:35.000 --> 02:06:37.000

I can go in and look and try to figure it out. all right.

02:06:37.000 --> 02:06:41.000

So where are we at on this? Do we need to meet and try to solve?

02:06:41.000 --> 02:06:44.000

I mean I can look into see what zooms do I don't know how I can look into it.

02:06:44.000 --> 02:06:49.000

Zoom's doing? I give them your email and others are getting them right?

02:06:49.000 --> 02:06:52.000

Is anybody else getting the Dave before and the hour before.

02:06:52.000 --> 02:06:56.000

Joe, Are you getting both? Vicki check your group email?

02:06:56.000 --> 02:07:02.000

I get both I I don't I didn't get anything this time you didn't get it in group either.

02:07:02.000 --> 02:07:08.000

I didn't get it in the group I didn't get it in my another one.

02:07:08.000 --> 02:07:12.000

Okay, let me. I mean hourly make sure. I You know I would suggest.

02:07:12.000 --> 02:07:17.000

Personally, I would suggest that we put 30 min on this on the next agenda.

02:07:17.000 --> 02:07:28.000

Okay, or maybe, or something. I really resolved okay, it's becoming more of a problem. So in other words, go ahead, go ahead. no.

02:07:28.000 --> 02:07:36.000

Just between now and then everyone try and pay a little bit more attention to the the email. And if there's a we can discern a pattern right?

02:07:36.000 --> 02:07:41.000

That would be great. that would be awesome. And so, in other words, my whole point is, I need response.

02:07:41.000 --> 02:07:50.000

You know again like I said a yes, or no todd i'm gonna I need to.

02:07:50.000 --> 02:07:54.000

Yeah, I need your signature so we can sign some of these minutes.

02:07:54.000 --> 02:08:00.000

And so with Joe. What I had done is had him physically signed coming to sit, and then I just copy.

02:08:00.000 --> 02:08:04.000

I made a copy of his physical signature. I can do it.

02:08:04.000 --> 02:08:10.000

, or yeah, exactly, or I can get your electronic signature.

02:08:10.000 --> 02:08:12.000

I mean I I suspect it doesn't even matter anymore.

02:08:12.000 --> 02:08:17.000

That was back when we were just new to all of this covid and it's like I don't know what to do.

02:08:17.000 --> 02:08:21.000

Just come sign these would job so whatever you prefer.

02:08:21.000 --> 02:08:24.000

But I have some minutes. I need your signature on, and I can. Okay.

02:08:24.000 --> 02:08:29.000

Yeah, I iconic version of your signature. I can have you just physically signed and then take a copy that way.

02:08:29.000 --> 02:08:38.000

I can send that to you. Okay, perfect. and if we're okay with my next subject, where we had on time.

02:08:38.000 --> 02:08:41.000

I think we got a little bit of time. I wanted to show you something.

02:08:41.000 --> 02:08:53.000

If I can get in here. So Bob how many use Simmons is a great example reached out to me a couple weeks ago, And, said Marlene, i'm looking for Charlie Wenslow's projects on Eric said I believe

02:08:53.000 --> 02:08:59.000

was the the topic, and he was searching every which way he could think of, and he

was actually able to lay on the building permit.

02:08:59.000 --> 02:09:06.000

But what he was actually looking for was the planning piece of it? and i'm like, why can't he find me? So he did.

02:09:06.000 --> 02:09:09.000

I'm gonna just show you what he did fine Oh, pleasant Beach village.

02:09:09.000 --> 02:09:14.000

You're talking about. i'm not sure i'm sorry I don't remember the subject, but he did locate the permit number for the building.

02:09:14.000 --> 02:09:19.000

So I thought, Okay, what can we see when we see the building I mean if he's at least got to permit?

02:09:19.000 --> 02:09:34.000

Where can we go from there? So I realized that 2 things while I was playing around one, If you want to see kind of everything related to this parcel, if you can go down to the parcel number and click on it, let me just show you

02:09:34.000 --> 02:09:45.000

what pops up The here are all the projects associated with that parcel by one way of not navigating the system.

02:09:45.000 --> 02:09:50.000

If you can get to it, and you want to know what other things are happening on that parcel.

02:09:50.000 --> 02:10:05.000

Go to the parcel section click on it, and you should get all of the permits associated, even ones from 20 years ago, associated with that But, Bob, this may not this one right here? may not be in a pleasant beach

02:10:05.000 --> 02:10:09.000

village, because pleasant beach villages number no that's a different one.

02:10:09.000 --> 02:10:12.000

Vicki that's a different one yeah it's a different one.

02:10:12.000 --> 02:10:17.000

I just want to use as an example. Sorry? Okay. as an example, I have my own personal thing. Yeah.

02:10:17.000 --> 02:10:22.000

Oh, Marlene, you still haven't answered the question but I won't bring it up here.

02:10:22.000 --> 02:10:28.000

Okay. Well, I don't remember I thought we finalized Okay, let me go back one more

time.

02:10:28.000 --> 02:10:39.000

This is nothing to do with the present beach village okay? So it doesn't It's I just use that as an example to show you. If you can get into a permit and get to the parcel number Then you can give in you to

02:10:39.000 --> 02:10:42.000

go bathroom. Okay, another thing is don't forget down at the bottom.

02:10:42.000 --> 02:10:50.000

We have this advanced search, so you can select permits would be. You don't want to look at licenses, and you can select the permit type.

02:10:50.000 --> 02:10:55.000

You may not know that. you can select the address and put the address in.

02:10:55.000 --> 02:11:00.000

If you have the parcel number you could put the parcel number in, and i'm gonna say I don't know what this is.

02:11:00.000 --> 02:11:07.000

I'm gonna grab it. search so gives you a little bit more fields by which to search with.

02:11:07.000 --> 02:11:13.000

Then that search bar will allow. So again I just wanted to give you guys some tutorial a little bit.

02:11:13.000 --> 02:11:17.000

I'm not an expert on the portal but when I was trying to investigate for Bob.

02:11:17.000 --> 02:11:25.000

I found a couple different other options. for you guys also the question that the question that the percent signed marlene that's crucial.

02:11:25.000 --> 02:11:32.000

I mean that's another way right right so can you type in P. L.

02:11:32.000 --> 02:11:48.000

And 5, 2, 1, 3, 9. Okay, So this one. and so is this a short pla, This is the Corcoran Stroud.

02:11:48.000 --> 02:11:59.000

Okay, So this is the pre app conference right it was a consultation, so I don't know whether we are able to answer that or not. This is the one that's scheduled for April.

02:11:59.000 --> 02:12:05.000

The fifth, so it would be under permit details, I think.

02:12:05.000 --> 02:12:12.000

Yeah or permit submittals. it might maybe it's under submittals.

02:12:12.000 --> 02:12:17.000

They've described something Yeah, there's also there's notes all over the place.

02:12:17.000 --> 02:12:20.000

So it's and maybe it's bigger than a it might be a subdivision who knows what it is?

02:12:20.000 --> 02:12:29.000

Okay, Yeah, Well, that's interesting. vicki you hit on something there. How come we don't know whether it's a short flat or a space needle?

02:12:29.000 --> 02:12:35.000

Well at the Pre app they're going to get told what it is.

02:12:35.000 --> 02:12:51.000

No at the Pre app When I went in for a short plot I went in for a short but yeah, but but under the family under under the new rules, baby.

02:12:51.000 --> 02:12:55.000

This would be defined at the Corcoran stout on April the fifth.

02:12:55.000 --> 02:12:58.000

The planner will say you it isn't you're not a short plate.

02:12:58.000 --> 02:13:06.000

You're a subdivision. or you're whatever it looks like a building permit that with what you have there, Marlene.

02:13:06.000 --> 02:13:12.000

That's It's pre app conference permit Yeah, but it's for a new single family residence that we really don't care about right?

02:13:12.000 --> 02:13:19.000

Well, that's what they put in is their description for this this pre app. So I don't know who put that in, but that's what's in the system.

02:13:19.000 --> 02:13:26.000

I mean usually that's that's where we would say short flat long subdivision, and maybe that'll get changed after that meeting.

02:13:26.000 --> 02:13:35.000

I don't know the process this will be interesting but anyways. I just want to show you some other ways to search the model that may be helpful to you to find what you're looking for.

02:13:35.000 --> 02:13:46.000

All right. So I have a few actions to clean up some of the documentation we

reviewed, and I'll take care of that.

02:13:46.000 --> 02:13:55.000

And then it sounds like we want to add a kind of a zoom item to our next agenda to kind of make sure I should say just communication and general with the Drb.

02:13:55.000 --> 02:14:04.000

To make sure that we can work through any of issues we're having and try to get that resolved right because I want you guys to be successful, and I want you to know when we're having meetings and what the agenda is

02:14:04.000 --> 02:14:09.000

and that you're getting your link in plenty of time and I just really really want to get this squared away.

02:14:09.000 --> 02:14:11.000

Because I know it's just frustrating for all of us.

02:14:11.000 --> 02:14:27.000

It just is you're trying to do a good job and you can't even get to what you need So So So all of us should look at the group email and there should all of us should have gotten an email from Christine.

02:14:27.000 --> 02:14:35.000

Brown. and so for members of the board that we're on the board in 2,020, and 2021 who had anything to do with Wintergreen.

02:14:35.000 --> 02:14:45.000

There is a request from Christine for documents and the request is they'll take them off your email for the city.

02:14:45.000 --> 02:14:55.000

It's for your personal, any personal that might be on there yeah they? It's not just emails. They want your they want notes.

02:14:55.000 --> 02:15:02.000

Documents like I had some excel spreadsheets that we've done together.

02:15:02.000 --> 02:15:12.000

Stuff like that. The discovery request from the Virginia Mason legal team, and I sent the stuff to her.

02:15:12.000 --> 02:15:19.000

This weekend because I just wanted it out of my hair, and I asked her how long?

02:15:19.000 --> 02:15:24.000

If people ask how it's because she didn't put a deadline on it, she said, she'd appreciate it.

02:15:24.000 --> 02:15:28.000

If you have things, you would get them to her in the next 2 maximum.

02:15:28.000 --> 02:15:37.000

3 weeks, and I sent it all electronically. Go and the server.

02:15:37.000 --> 02:15:46.000

The city Server doesn't take big files So I zipped it, getting technology advice from one of my kids, of course.

02:15:46.000 --> 02:15:51.000

But then I had to split it up into 3 things in addition to zipping everything.

02:15:51.000 --> 02:16:03.000

So, anyway. but it's it's in your email somewhere Yep. it's a lot of stuff I know, and a lot of stuff on that that particular project.

02:16:03.000 --> 02:16:08.000

I know I could see him jotting down lots of notes.

02:16:08.000 --> 02:16:12.000

So this was email. I had sent everybody who may not have already gotten it.

02:16:12.000 --> 02:16:18.000

But this is what they were talking about with the focus versus the other, and make sure you're checking both of those.

02:16:18.000 --> 02:16:24.000

So how come you don't have groups marlene you don't even have a groups file.

02:16:24.000 --> 02:16:29.000

No, yeah, no roof is further down this is screenshots from it.

02:16:29.000 --> 02:16:36.000

This isn't actually my my setup. but Oh, but what I just did to get rid of my groups is

02:16:36.000 --> 02:16:41.000

You see how they're showing settings in that bigger screenshot.

02:16:41.000 --> 02:16:47.000

You click settings, and then for me under there you can turn on and off the focused in inbox.

02:16:47.000 --> 02:16:51.000

And that helped. That helped me to be able to see more more emails.

02:16:51.000 --> 02:16:55.000

Yeah, that So that's what this email was about to tell you can I?

02:16:55.000 --> 02:17:01.000

You have 2 different inboxes, and if you want to turn off the focus, this is where you would go in your settings to do so.

02:17:01.000 --> 02:17:11.000

And you think anna that gets rid of groups I haven't had issues with seeing or not seeing green groups since I did that.

02:17:11.000 --> 02:17:19.000

But what i'd love to do is make groups go up to my inbox, and only have one place to have to look. Yeah, I don't know.

02:17:19.000 --> 02:17:26.000

I wish I could help you there. Okay. So in the settings, Okay, I think I did that already.

02:17:26.000 --> 02:17:36.000

Okay, all right. So this was what went out to try to help doesn't help if you can't get your email right?

02:17:36.000 --> 02:17:42.000

Oh, yeah, did have one question kind of sort of in line with trying to figure out zoom.

02:17:42.000 --> 02:17:52.000

Has there been discussion of how we'll decide whether to be in person or over zoom?

02:17:52.000 --> 02:18:00.000

Okay, So this is what i've been told and I don't know everything, as you guys probably know i'm like a mushroom right?

02:18:00.000 --> 02:18:05.000

They're going to try in person city Council on the 20 s.

02:18:05.000 --> 02:18:11.000

Oh, i'm sorry hybrid zoom and in person They're gonna see how that goes from what I understand from it.

02:18:11.000 --> 02:18:17.000

It can be a little tricky with some of the the software they have to use to make that happen.

02:18:17.000 --> 02:18:21.000

So they're going to do a trial run on the 20 s with the planning, I mean with the city Council.

02:18:21.000 --> 02:18:25.000

I believe maybe a couple meetings like that to iron out anything i'm not quite sure.

02:18:25.000 --> 02:18:27.000

I think Next, then they might tackle the planning commission.

02:18:27.000 --> 02:18:37.000

See how that goes, and try to get that back on and Then They'll probably move on to historic planning and design review board and all those sorts of things.

02:18:37.000 --> 02:18:48.000

So stay tuned, but I think the goal is to keep it hybrid, because we have found through Covid that there's a lot of people who are able to join that might not be able to normally join because they

02:18:48.000 --> 02:18:52.000

can't get to City Hall by 2 o'clock in the afternoon, or 6 P.

02:18:52.000 --> 02:18:59.000

M. or whatever it might be. so I think there's been great great desire to keep zoom as an option is my understanding.

02:18:59.000 --> 02:19:03.000

Clarence may have more on this than I do but that's my understanding right now.

02:19:03.000 --> 02:19:15.000

I think one of has a good recap and one of the reasons why we would like to get back to public is exactly this: we're losing all of the personal interaction.

02:19:15.000 --> 02:19:20.000

And we understand people have concerns you know i've i've been boosted.

02:19:20.000 --> 02:19:28.000

I I had omicron I mean I I get it but I think it's really important to you taxpayers been paying for that chamber for 2 years.

02:19:28.000 --> 02:19:34.000

It hasn't been used I think it's about time we got back in there, so I don't know what that means to design review board members.

02:19:34.000 --> 02:19:39.000

If you were all be required to be on site at the debt, you know, up at the the chairs.

02:19:39.000 --> 02:19:47.000

I don't know any of those rules clarence I don't know if they've talked to you about.

02:19:47.000 --> 02:19:55.000

You know what the idea is for the actual members of the board or Commission, if they're at least my understanding is, it's up to you guys.

02:19:55.000 --> 02:20:00.000

Okay. i'm on another group the race equity advisory committee in there.

02:20:00.000 --> 02:20:03.000

They want to stay Zoom, because of some things that you just mentioned.

02:20:03.000 --> 02:20:06.000

Some of them have John to just the time it just doesn't work.

02:20:06.000 --> 02:20:13.000

They can't really get into a you know getting a physical meeting, and some are actually just enjoying that flexibility of being there for their hours in a name.

02:20:13.000 --> 02:20:19.000

Turn off, and They're back to their family so I think it's going to be up to every group to decide how what works best for them.

02:20:19.000 --> 02:20:27.000

Good question, Anna, you know, , I have one other thing.

02:20:27.000 --> 02:20:36.000

If we still have a tiny bit of time Yeah, have we exhausted Marlene's list.

02:20:36.000 --> 02:20:54.000

I think so. excellent. So so my my list was You know. We had what was called the Triage Code Committee, which was, it was supposed to be a couple planning commission.

02:20:54.000 --> 02:21:00.000

A couple of the Rb. and a couple Council members, but it really turned out to be a couple Drb.

02:21:00.000 --> 02:21:13.000

Members and a couple planning commission members. and the product the word product out of that was to is was to revamp the application process which took a whole year.

02:21:13.000 --> 02:21:18.000

And there's been talk about Well, How should the you know what should be tackled next.

02:21:18.000 --> 02:21:22.000

Should we try to tackle something? And then there was.

02:21:22.000 --> 02:21:31.000

There were some ideas that that maybe this I don't know some group other uw Catal.

02:21:31.000 --> 02:21:35.000

Theybridge city code issues I don't know where that stands but

02:21:35.000 --> 02:21:43.000

But there are. There are a lot of conflicts actually in the code.

02:21:43.000 --> 02:22:00.000

Inconsistencies conflicts. you know like like conflicts between buffers, but just the use of the words buffers and setbacks, and there's words that are used all the time.

02:22:00.000 --> 02:22:08.000

But there's no definitions for those words like rural by design is used in the design for Bainbridge Book.

02:22:08.000 --> 02:22:14.000

But the word that that term is not defined anywhere in the code.

02:22:14.000 --> 02:22:23.000

So what is it? It's just this strange thing so I wanted to know if we wanted to reach out as a board to Sarah.

02:22:23.000 --> 02:22:35.000

Blossom, and to Ashley Matthews as co-chairs of the planning commission and say, Do we want to pick one or 2 things like, Can we fix buffers and setbacks?

02:22:35.000 --> 02:22:44.000

Can we? And now, from experience we know that it could take a full year to guide something.

02:22:44.000 --> 02:22:50.000

Just these little tiny fixes through the process and get them changed in the municipal code.

02:22:50.000 --> 02:22:58.000

So. Do we want to to tackle something like that?

02:22:58.000 --> 02:23:06.000

Float a couple ideas. See what sarah's got in remind cause a year will go by very quickly.

02:23:06.000 --> 02:23:19.000

Yeah, Bob had some ideas. Well, I think we had a brief talk before about having a professional undertake this morecomprehensively.

02:23:19.000 --> 02:23:25.000

And that, I think, is is still the best course of action.

02:23:25.000 --> 02:23:41.000

Mainly you know It's important to figure, out that that you know. The reason is, I understand it, because I wasn't here the reason that Bambridge Island became a city and you know established its own government part was to

02:23:41.000 --> 02:23:50.000

control growth and control. What was happening around us. but Then the code that was adopted is really just a copy of what the rest of the county has.

02:23:50.000 --> 02:24:08.000

So we haven't really affected that change we've probably muddied the waters, and made a lot more arguments happen as opposed to streamlining a process and codifying what we want to see happen so

02:24:08.000 --> 02:24:16.000

that would be one course. of action I don't the the question there is. I don't know how we affect that, but I think Joe might have an idea.

02:24:16.000 --> 02:24:35.000

Well, the history on that Todd is actually When the when Bainbridge became, you know, a whole city, there was the Winslow code, and there was the concept county code, and they got kind of mush together, and the consequence

02:24:35.000 --> 02:24:49.000

of that mushing, and then, since then there's been ad hoc code, writing by quasi volunteer professionals in the fields, etc.

02:24:49.000 --> 02:24:54.000

And you've you've got that going on right now with the code writing for for Bethany Lutheran.

02:24:54.000 --> 02:24:57.000

The very best of intentions are going on but it's just this.

02:24:57.000 --> 02:25:07.000

This complicated thing, which is then lid, led to the contradictions, and has led to the

02:25:07.000 --> 02:25:17.000

The omissions in them, and so the the how to fix it would Actually, I agree.

02:25:17.000 --> 02:25:21.000

I I think it needs to go to a land use attorney, and he has to be charged with.

02:25:21.000 --> 02:25:29.000

Get rid of the contradictions, have a comprehensive definition list and make a list for the city to deal with.

02:25:29.000 --> 02:25:37.000

These are the things that require administrative and council decisions.

02:25:37.000 --> 02:25:46.000

And and we need that professional advice. and so it somehow or other, and it needs to be a link, probably a land use attorney.

02:25:46.000 --> 02:25:56.000

Somebody who has tackled something like this before I mean we could try to tackle buffers and setbacks, and it's gonna end up in Joel Levan's desk.

02:25:56.000 --> 02:26:05.000

Anyway. So why, why are we even doing it I mean I'm I'm for having a land use?

02:26:05.000 --> 02:26:26.000

Attorney. Because a lot of our problems with Winter green were caused by the code and and and the worst, and the application of words will may all the time well as either may or it or its will. what is it Why, is May.

02:26:26.000 --> 02:26:40.000

In there. So I I agree that the city we should not enable the city and do their work for them.

02:26:40.000 --> 02:26:52.000

They should get a consultant to do it, I would recommend that we actually write a memo to either the City Council or possibly to blurking.

02:26:52.000 --> 02:27:05.000

I guess it'd always copying blurking or that stating what are what we would like to see happen, and some examples, and why this occurred?

02:27:05.000 --> 02:27:08.000

Why, why the code is not in that you give a couple examples.

02:27:08.000 --> 02:27:14.000

Use the buffer, for example, use the differences between standards and guidelines.

02:27:14.000 --> 02:27:31.000

You know some of those just 2 or 3 items, you know, and say that these things need to be fixed, and we, asking the Council and lurking to put it on the future agenda for a work, plan, and we think it should be done by

02:27:31.000 --> 02:27:46.000

a consultant and let them know that we're that we're not that we're frustrated, but that it really does impact our ability to do a good job. Yeah, there's holes in our in our yeah yeah,

02:27:46.000 --> 02:28:05.000

so it's my recommendation. bob yeah I know Sarah was gonna bring up the the this subcommittee at a buying commission meeting with know she's been so involved in this Beth anything Sarah's totally aware of

02:28:05.000 --> 02:28:11.000

it wants to, and wanted to get the committee together. But I pay back on what Vicki and Bill were talking about.

02:28:11.000 --> 02:28:17.000

I know for me. I don't necessarily agree that wintergreen has some code issues to me.

02:28:17.000 --> 02:28:24.000

It was pretty straightforward, but that's me I will say that we need a lot of definitions.

02:28:24.000 --> 02:28:32.000

We've got a very complicated detailed code compared to a lot of other jurisdictions meeting that we need a good list of definitions.

02:28:32.000 --> 02:28:38.000

I know I have my own list of things from winter green I learned so much on winter grain.

02:28:38.000 --> 02:28:41.000

I don't want to say there were conflicts or anything, but they were.

02:28:41.000 --> 02:28:52.000

There are ways of making things more clear to me I thought that by putting the list together, as you suggest. Go and send it to the

02:28:52.000 --> 02:28:58.000

Whether it's the Council or there is a subcommittee between the planning commission and Dr.

02:28:58.000 --> 02:29:06.000

B. making a list, and I know all the city is the this this code writer that people use all around the country.

02:29:06.000 --> 02:29:13.000

I mean they're they're experts they can they can do this stuff, whether it's actually hiring a land use attorney.

02:29:13.000 --> 02:29:25.000

This code writing company does the same thing they're probably not as expensive. but i'd like, I mean I know that the in-house staff must have their own concerns. I think a lot of us that.

02:29:25.000 --> 02:29:31.000

Were particularly did a lot of some carrying a lot of the water on winter grain project.

02:29:31.000 --> 02:29:38.000

I know we have our our list of things, and I would think that if we could at least get that list together.

02:29:38.000 --> 02:29:44.000

Because yeah, there's a heck of a lot of definitions it would make a lot easier to understand whether there's conflicts or not.

02:29:44.000 --> 02:29:47.000

I'm gonna i'm gonna just take the neutral position.

02:29:47.000 --> 02:29:54.000

But I you know that the kind, the definitions, are definitely lacking hugely.

02:29:54.000 --> 02:30:05.000

Yeah, we and we do know that we do know that some some people lean on the fact that there's some some loose work verbiage that says the Drb.

02:30:05.000 --> 02:30:14.000

Has the final call on some of these things but that's not enough to if there's a clear contradiction between the code and the Drb.

02:30:14.000 --> 02:30:32.000

And the design for Bainbridge it just doesn't it Doesn't empower us enough to to really have all that the Rb. and design for Bain Bridge can can is meant to provide so so I guess

02:30:32.000 --> 02:30:42.000

we're gonna ask for volunteers who will be willing to put the memo together and try and keep it succinct.

02:30:42.000 --> 02:30:53.000

Clerics. Yeah, i'm not voluntary clicked it before you said that word.

02:30:53.000 --> 02:31:06.000

I like where you're going the one thing I would recommend is is allowed the city manager to determine how what what vehicle would be to do that I don't know if when you really not have to you know

02:31:06.000 --> 02:31:09.000

do the expenditure of an attorney. It could be someone like you mentioned a code expert.

02:31:09.000 --> 02:31:15.000

It could be someone in our community that does code or or rights ordinances for other communities.

02:31:15.000 --> 02:31:25.000

Who might volunteer. We get a free. We can get a freak consultant that way, but at least allow the flexibility to find who would be that best way to you know, comb this thing out and find the inconsistencies or at

02:31:25.000 --> 02:31:29.000

least a contract some of those terms that are contradictory for the same purpose.

02:31:29.000 --> 02:31:34.000

So that would be just my one suggestion on that but I like where you're going, because i'm just starting to learn the code.

02:31:34.000 --> 02:31:38.000

I really had no reason to get into it until recently and I'm.

02:31:38.000 --> 02:31:43.000

Seeing a lot of stuff that you folks are talking about, too.

02:31:43.000 --> 02:31:53.000

Thank thank you, Clarence. that's important I think but part of the Memo should be that we we want to spend the resources essentially to save our resources.

02:31:53.000 --> 02:32:04.000

So we've still some some resources and money to save our resources that are on the island, so that because this is really it's really complicated.

02:32:04.000 --> 02:32:12.000

It should be clear, and you know if we got a big enough project that's got enough funding, and lawyers behind them.

02:32:12.000 --> 02:32:24.000

They'll they'll find a way through well very bluntly interpretation of the code regarding parking has led to the Virginia Mason lawsuit.

02:32:24.000 --> 02:32:32.000

It's that simple that's costing the city a lot of my and a lot of time and effort on the part of staff.

02:32:32.000 --> 02:32:38.000

So getting that clarity getting rid of the word may sometimes could be very helpful.

02:32:38.000 --> 02:32:47.000

Well willing to work with. I mean since i'm vicky Joe and Bob kind of did a lot of that stuff, I mean.

02:32:47.000 --> 02:33:00.000

I'm willing to so down with you guys and I mean I've got a list, i'm sure that you guys have listed rolled all this together, and like you say Tom, we just get it over to the city and Well, and i'm not sure that

02:33:00.000 --> 02:33:14.000

it's necessary to have a thorough list of the specific issues. but I think raising raising the concern of there being discrepancies between different versions of code and different documents that we're all working off of

02:33:14.000 --> 02:33:24.000

just raising that as an issue, and maybe bringing up examples of how this has exposed the city to to risk.

02:33:24.000 --> 02:33:43.000

And and really additional cost. I think that alone should be should be enough that the exercise of going through lists of all of the the issues that we see, I think that would be helpful to pass it to pass along to whatever consultant the

02:33:43.000 --> 02:33:51.000

city decides to work with, but as far as information that we pass along to the the Council. I'm.

02:33:51.000 --> 02:34:04.000

Not sure if that if that full list would be useful or if that would actually keep people from wanting to read the entirety of the document, I think I have a ana short and sweet, Yeah, that's that's kind of

02:34:04.000 --> 02:34:08.000

phase 2 is. we signed someone up and say, Well, this is what we have learn.

02:34:08.000 --> 02:34:17.000

It is back. Yeah, just I like where you're going on that. But I think you find examples would be very useful, you know.

02:34:17.000 --> 02:34:21.000

1, 2, or 3 go here's what we're talking about man, I mean, can you see the differences here?

02:34:21.000 --> 02:34:25.000

And why people are confused, I mean that would really help amplify why it's necessary.

02:34:25.000 --> 02:34:32.000

So if you have a few of those that are really really clear and and contradiction, I I would find it useful myself.

02:34:32.000 --> 02:34:40.000

Well, and and the we know from a couple staff reports that planners get together.

02:34:40.000 --> 02:34:47.000

They have their staff meeting, and if they and they put on the table well, how do you?

02:34:47.000 --> 02:35:03.000

How do you think this code should be applied and so. The code itself puts our staff at disadvantage because they they don't feel particularly protected by the code, because it is so contradictory in some places so they have

02:35:03.000 --> 02:35:08.000

a staff meeting, and they go out on a limb and say well let's let's say it means this.

02:35:08.000 --> 02:35:17.000

So it means this that time, but it might mean this a year from now differently, and that's not fair to staff either.

02:35:17.000 --> 02:35:21.000

I mean i'm kind of looking at it from their perspective having been a staff person

like.

02:35:21.000 --> 02:35:31.000

Well, now, what do I do, you know? And so, yeah, it needs to be clarified and straightened out.

02:35:31.000 --> 02:35:37.000

I think the kind of stuff we have like you're saying anna I mean we're not trying to whack off this thing in one big fight.

02:35:37.000 --> 02:35:51.000

It doesn't work that way. but as vicky was talking about setbacks. Blah! blah blah it's it's that's that's a package that as todd said that the more clear it is the

02:35:51.000 --> 02:36:08.000

more clear it is. we need that clarity winter green's got some issues, and the clarity would have better good, very good.

02:36:08.000 --> 02:36:16.000

So so is that the big breath? Do we have a group to get together and draft it?

02:36:16.000 --> 02:36:31.000

Anyone I mean Vicki i'm willing to work with you and I don't, Joe, if you're too busy, I mean Vicki I'm willing to work with you excellent not a huge thing I could help out with it but I

02:36:31.000 --> 02:36:37.000

can't lead it. I don't have time to leave but i'll help out with it, and review it do that.

02:36:37.000 --> 02:36:44.000

We can work on it together, Yeah, we get along with each other.

02:36:44.000 --> 02:36:48.000

I think that would be we're not trying to take the whole thing.

02:36:48.000 --> 02:37:05.000

We just just from my notes right now it sounds like what we're saying is that there's a a whole wide range of range of risks that the city is being exposed to by these discrepancies that there's

02:37:05.000 --> 02:37:18.000

legal risks, and thus financial risks and that it leads to staff time being used to try to figure this out and to the the staff being exposed to to that same risk.

02:37:18.000 --> 02:37:34.000

That the city's being exposed to and it affects our ability as a city to control what kind of development comes comes, and the quality of that development that sounds like a great summary.

02:37:34.000 --> 02:37:38.000

The thing I would add that I think it's to us as far as the Drb.

02:37:38.000 --> 02:37:51.000

It's specific to chapter 1617, and 18, 3, 16 was rewritten and is not bad, but 17 and 18 are definitely incomplete.

02:37:51.000 --> 02:37:58.000

So as long as the information's there if it's city chooses not to share certain things.

02:37:58.000 --> 02:38:03.000

We have no control over that. Yeah, we just saying that we want stuff that's clear.

02:38:03.000 --> 02:38:13.000

So in the staff goes in it's clear so anna could you ignore it, or whatever that's not our I like. what Anna just wrote.

02:38:13.000 --> 02:38:17.000

Could she write that up, and just send us to his notes yeah i'll write that up.

02:38:17.000 --> 02:38:21.000

Should I can I send it to everyone should I send it to just Marlene.

02:38:21.000 --> 02:38:28.000

What's the best? What's the protocol there well usually has to go through more lean as you send it to everybody.

02:38:28.000 --> 02:38:33.000

Okay, so that's a good point that's a good question

02:38:33.000 --> 02:38:39.000

So Marlene has announced that that video on the Fourier thing.

02:38:39.000 --> 02:38:43.000

I've seen it I just faint it it's not fresh in my brain.

02:38:43.000 --> 02:38:54.000

So if we write this our if we write, if you want to write, send it to all of us as a group, you put a great big header on it, saying, Do not reply for information.

02:38:54.000 --> 02:39:04.000

Only do not reply, and then that that means that Oh, we I shouldn't respond to that, or you can just do not reply to all I mean.

02:39:04.000 --> 02:39:15.000

If you , anna about did you mean to say this, you know she doesn't have to come through me. but once we get a quorum, and I mean we get more 3 people in an email it becomes a quorum and that's what

02:39:15.000 --> 02:39:23.000

we're trying to avoid. right Okay, we can pass information. but once we start talking about it with numerous people on that communication.

02:39:23.000 --> 02:39:30.000

That's where we get into trouble. so thanks for the clarification a lot to know.

02:39:30.000 --> 02:39:35.000

Sounds good. The Clarence took us hand down good okay awesome so.

02:39:35.000 --> 02:39:41.000

And that's that that will be another agenda item for the first of April leading.

02:39:41.000 --> 02:39:49.000

Okay, and that was April fourth meeting. and it was I'm Sorry I want to understand what the agenda item is I talk about that.

02:39:49.000 --> 02:39:57.000

Memo got it. whether it's help craft it because we'll all have some time together, or just to collect some ideas react.

02:39:57.000 --> 02:40:02.000

Would you also like me to add another review of the worksheets?

02:40:02.000 --> 02:40:07.000

So make the changes we discussed. You guys can give it your final blessing again.

02:40:07.000 --> 02:40:10.000

Okay, Yeah, because what happens next is you guys know is we're trying trying.

02:40:10.000 --> 02:40:15.000

We have a problem because the website they won't allow us to put anything about Pdf.

02:40:15.000 --> 02:40:19.000

But we've had problems with the applicant filling out the Pdf.

02:40:19.000 --> 02:40:23.000

And then we can't add to it and so i've gotten permission from it.

02:40:23.000 --> 02:40:28.000

Use word, but I have to take the time to lock down a whole bunch of that worksheet.

02:40:28.000 --> 02:40:34.000

So it can't be changed by the applicant and so I was waiting till we had our final blessing before I did all that work.

02:40:34.000 --> 02:40:41.000

So once I get your blessing next meeting I should be able to try to turn that around and get these these worksheets up on our website.

02:40:41.000 --> 02:40:46.000

So all applicants know where to go get it, and we can all work in word, which is my whole move.

02:40:46.000 --> 02:40:56.000

Awesome. All right. Thank you, Marlene. All right. Well, thank you.

02:40:56.000 --> 02:41:01.000

Everybody. Okay. good good productive meeting without having any projects to review.

02:41:01.000 --> 02:41:08.000

Just think what happened Next time we see a project you could quickly jump in for a second.

02:41:08.000 --> 02:41:19.000

Sorry. was it late? i've been an executor of my friends estate passed away, and so we had a long lot of the closing papers with the title company.

02:41:19.000 --> 02:41:23.000

So that's what was a little bit late, to your meeting. So I apologize for that noise.

02:41:23.000 --> 02:41:31.000

Okay, thank you. Yes, joke, you're able to get some rest because that sounds like emotionally exhausting work.

02:41:31.000 --> 02:41:53.000

Yes, Well, closing is actually a closure would be a good word.